AGENDA Municipal Services Committee October 25, 2010 6:30 P.M. – Council Chambers

- 1. Call to Order & Roll Call
- 2. Establishment of Quorum
- 3. New Business
 - a. Motion Coach Light Program
 - b. Minutes September 27, 2010 Municipal Services Committee

4. Director's Report

- a. Project Cost Analysis
- b. Project Survey Responses
- 5. Next regularly scheduled meeting November 22, 2010.
- 6. Adjournment

AGENDA MEMO Municipal Services Committee October 25, 2010

ISSUE STATEMENT

Approval of a motion to:

- Accept the practice of the 2010 City wide Coach Light Program for Residents
- Accept the following Proposals for fixtures, repair and installation for the City Wide Post Coach Light Program for Residents:

	Incandescent / LED	<u>Natural Gas</u>
Fixtures:	Home Depot (pages 3-5)	Fireplace Patio (page 10)
	O'Hara True Value (page 6)	
	Advance Electrical Supply (pages 7-9)	
Installers:	RAG's Electric Company (page 11)	Fireplace Patio (page 12)
	All Electric Inc (page 11)	

• Waiving all fees associated with the inspection process and conducting random inspections at the City s' discretion and expense

BACKGROUND

At the July 20, 2010 City Council meeting Alderman Poteraske brought forward an item regarding existing gas coach lights and forwarded an article regarding a Coach Light Repair Program, see attached.

A brief history suggests that many of the homes built in Darien had gas coach lights installed on private property adjacent to the right of ways. The lighting was installed for aesthetics, security and limited street lightning within subdivisions. Many of the existing coach lamps are not functioning have been removed or replaced.

At the July 26, 2010 meeting, the Municipal Services Committee directed staff to proceed with a survey to identify if there was interest from residents and whether the City should entertain a Coach Light Program. The survey indicated that there were approximately 90 residents that are interested in the program. At the September 27, 2010 Municipal Services Committee directed Staff to proceed with requests for proposals for services for repairs, replacements, installs and for fixtures for natural gas, incandescent, LED and solar coach lights.

The requested proposals are for the services of a licensed electrician to repair, replace, and provide new installs incandescent, LED and solar coach light fixtures and poles. The proposal also requested for the services of certified natural gas installers to repair, replace, and provide new installs of natural gas coach fixtures and poles.

Staff has also requested several local retailers to provide various models and program pricing. The fixtures will be supplied by the vendors at no cost and shall be displayed and fully functional at the City Hall for residents to select from.

Coach Lighting October 25, 2010 Page 2

The program would be implemented as follows.

- 1. City Council Practice Approval.
- 2. Advertising Cable, Direct Connect, Neighbors Magazine, Local Newspaper, City Website.
- 3. Resident could download a cost summary sheet for fixtures of services.
- 4. Resident would contact the approved installer.
- 5. Installer has 24 hours to respond and schedule an appointment with resident.
- 6. The installer will provide resident an estimate based on approved unit pricing.
- 7. Excluding repairs, all work will require a **no charge** permit application. Inspections for the work will be conducted randomly.
- 8. The resident shall have the opportunity to purchase products for coach lights at various locations through the schedule of prices. Residents shall purchase products directly from the approved vendors.
- 9. The resident shall have the opportunity to select services required from the various installers. The final payment for the services provided will be required within 24-hours after installation and acceptance. A one year guarantee shall be provide by the vendor on labor.
- 10. Residents may complete repairs and installs on their own. A no charge inspection will be required for residents doing work on their own.
- 11. City Staff has provided several vendors for landscape restoration services that residents may contact directly.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff requests approval of the proposed practice and proposals with the selected vendors. The references for the proposed vendor services have been verified with favorable responses.

ALTERNATE CONSIDERATION

As recommended by the Municipal Services Committee.

DECISION MODE

This item will be presented at the November 1, 2010 City Council meeting for formal consideration.

FIXTURES

VENDOR HOME DEPOT 2101 West 75th Street

2101 West 75th Street, Darien

Contact:	
Richard Sharpe 630-271-9600	
Ed Lodder 630-271-3431	
PRODS 1905@HOMEDEPOT.COM	

FIXTURES	SKU NUMBER/PART NO	COST	COMMENTS		
INCANDESCENT					
MODEL NO 1	885-255	\$21.54			
MAKE	HAMPTON BAY				
MODEL NO	GNC1813A-WHT				
FINISH	WHITE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
MATCHING POLE BLACK ONLY	777-099	\$28.26			
MODEL NO 2	149-119	\$77.50	Special Order		
MAKE	HAMPTON BAY				
MODEL NO	CIL1803M	HD149119			
FINISH	BRONZE				
MATCHING POLE BLACK ONLY	777-099	\$28.26			
MODEL NO 3	313-063	\$51.26	Special Order		
MAKE	HAMPTON BAY				
MODEL NO	¥37005-26	HD313063			
FINISH	ANTIQUE SILVER				
MATCHING POLE BLACK ONLY	777-099	\$28.26			
MODEL NO 4	272-775	\$41.36			
MAKE	HAMPTON BAY				
MODEL NO	Y37031-151				
FINISH	AGED IRON				
MATCHING POLE BLACK ONLY	777-099	\$28.26			

FIXTURES	SKU NUMBER/PART NO	COST	COMMENTS		
MODEL NO 5	Internet only 100467394	\$189.00	Internet Only		
MAKE	PROGRESS LIGHTING				
MODEL NO	P5483-31				
FINISH	TEXTURED BLACK				
MATCHING POLE BLACK ONLY	777-099	\$28.26			
MODEL NO 6	394-834	\$40.71			
MAKE	HAMPTON BAY				
MODEL NO	BOR1803/B				
FINISH	BRUSHED NICKEL				
MATCHING POLE BLACK ONLY	777-099	\$28.26			
MODEL NO 7	884-463	\$20.38			
MAKE	HAMPTON BAY				
MODEL NO	GNC1813A-BK				
FINISH	BLACK				
MATCHING POLE BLACK ONLY	777-099	\$28.26			
LED			<u> </u>		
MODEL NO 8	716-716	\$ 78.11			
MAKE	PROGRESS LIGHTING				
MODEL NO	P5409-20				
FINISH	ANTIQUE BRONZE		<u> </u>		
POLES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
POLE MODEL 1					
MAKE	NEWPORT COASTAL	- Cost to be	Special Order		
MODEL NO	7771-14W	determined			
FINISH	WHITE				
FEATURES	OUTLET AND PHOTO EYE	· · ·			
POLE MODEL 2		- Cost to be	Special Order		
MAKE	NEWPORT COASTAL	determined			
MODEL NO	7771-01BZ				
FINISH	BRONZE				
FEATURES	OUTLET AND PHOTO EYE				

FIXTURES	SKU NUMBER/PART NO	COST		
POLE MODEL 3	584-295	\$51.85	Special Order	
MAKE	NEWPORT COASTAL			
MODEL NO	7771-14B			
FINISH	BRONZE			
FEATURES	OUTLET AND PHOTO EYE			
MISC				
GFCI OUTLET WITH WEATHERPROOF ENCLOSURE	602-679	\$ 28.92		
1/2 INCH RIGID CONDUIT	268-953	\$ 10.99		
UNDERGROUND DIRECT BURIAL WIRE 12-3	212-281	\$ 91.80		
LED DIMMABLE LIGHT	864-680	\$ 18.47		
PVC SCHEDULE 40-1/2-INCH 10-FOOT	202-959	\$ 0.85		
PVC SCHEDULE 40-3/4-INCH 10-FOOT	202-967	\$ 1.09		
GFCI-15 AMP OUTLET	791-857	\$ 9.42		
GFCI-20-AMP OUTLET	910-683	\$ 14.03		

.

FIXTURES

VENDOR	O'HARA TRUE VALUE						
	7516 South Cass Ave, Darien						
	Contact: Marty O'Hara 630-964-9100 marty @oharahardware.com						
FIXTURES	SKU NUMBER/PART NO	COST					
INCANDESCENT							
MODEL NO 9		\$37.99					
МАКЕ	Thomas Lighting						
MODEL NO	SL 738						
FINISH	Black						
MODEL NO 10		\$46.99					
МАКЕ	Thomas Lighting						
MODEL NO	SL 9136						
FINISH	Black or Tile Bronze						
MODEL NO 11		\$47.99					
МАКЕ	Sea Gull						
MODEL NO	8255-12						
FINISH	White, Black or Verde						
MODEL NO 12		\$69.99					
МАКЕ	Thomas Lighting 3 Light						
MODEL NO	SL 9146						
FINISH	Matte Black or Tile Bronze						
POLE MODEL NO 4	66808	\$28.99					
POLE MODEL NO 5	Ground outlet & Photocell	\$51.99					
POLE MODEL NO 6	8106	\$51.99					
MISC							
GFCI OUTLET WITH WEATHERPROOF ENCLOSURE		\$ 11.99					
1/2 INCH RIGID CONDUIT		\$ 10.99					
UNDERGROUND DIRECT BURIAL WIRE 12-3		\$ 33.79					
LED DIMMABLE LIGHT		s -					
PVC SCHEDULE 40-1/2-INCH 10-FOOT		\$ 1.19					
PVC SCHEDULE 40-3/4-INCH 10-FOOT		\$ 1.59					
GFCI-15 AMP OUTLET		\$ 11.99					
GFCI-20-AMP OUTLET		\$ 13.49					

FIXTURES

VENDOR ADVANCE ELECTRIC SU						
	8500 W 191st Street, Un	it 10, Mokena				
······································	Contact:					
	Kevin Kennedy 815	-464-0224				
	kkennedy@advancelectrical.com					
FIXTURES	SKU NUMBER/PART NO	COST				
LED						
MODEL NO 13		\$245.60				
MAKE	Progress Lighting					
MODEL NO	P5451-31					
FINISH	Black					
MODEL NO 14		\$83.50				
MAKE	Progress Lighting					
MODEL NO	P5407-31					
FINISH	Black					
MODEL NO 15		\$189.60				
MAKE	Progress Lighting					
MODEL NO	P5473-88					
FINISH	Heirloom					
MODEL NO 16	· · ·	\$274.55				
MAKE	Progress Lighting					
MODEL NO	P5474-33					
FINISH	Cobblestone					
MODEL NO 17		\$274.55				
MAKE	Progress Lighting					
MODEL NO	P5474-50					
FINISH	Golden Baroque					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

SKU NUMBER/PART NO	COS	<u>Γ</u>
		\$190.55
Progress Lighting		
P5438-71		<u></u>
Gilded Iron		
		\$199.20
Progress Lighting		
P5451-31 CFL		
Black		
	\$	62.00
Progress Lighting		
P5407-31 CFL		
Black		
	\$	235.55
Progress Lighting		
P5474-50 CFL		
Golden Baroque		·
	\$	160.55
Progress Lighting		
P5438-71 CFL		
Gilded Iron		
	\$	160.75
Progress Lighting		
P5473-88 CFL		
Heirloom		
	\$	235.55
Progress Lighting		
P5474-33 CFL		
Cobblestone Bronze		
	Progress Lighting P5438-71 Gilded Iron Progress Lighting P5451-31 CFL Black Progress Lighting P5407-31 CFL Black Progress Lighting P5407-31 CFL Black Progress Lighting P5474-50 CFL Golden Baroque Progress Lighting P5438-71 CFL Gilded Iron Progress Lighting P5438-71 CFL Gilded Iron Progress Lighting P5473-88 CFL Heirloom	Progress LightingProgress LightingP5438-71IGilded IronIProgress LightingIP5451-31 CFLIBlackIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIP5474-50 CFLIGolden BaroqueIProgress LightingIP5473-81 CFLIGilded IronIProgress LightingIP5473-88 CFLIHeirloomIP5473-88 CFLIHeirloomIProgress LightingIP5473-33 CFLIProgress LightingIP5474-33 CFLIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIP5474-33 CFLIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIP5473-88 CFLIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIProgress LightingIProgress LightingI <t< td=""></t<>

FIXTURES	SKU NUMBER/PART NO	COST	
POLES			
LED			-
POLE MODEL 7		\$	57.00
MAKE	Progress Lighting		
MODEL NO	P5391-31 Photo Cell		
FINISH	Black or White		
POLE MODEL 8		\$	45.00
MAKE	Progress Lighting		
MODEL NO	P5391-31		
FINISH	Black or White		
POLE MODEL 9			\$52.00
MAKE	Progress Lighting		
MODEL NO	P5390-46		
FINISH	Black		·
SURFACE MOUNT POLE ADAPTER MODEL NO 10		\$	52.00
MAKE	Progress Lighting		
MODEL NO	P8748-31		
FINISH	Black		
			<u> </u>
1/2 INCH RIGID CONDUIT	Per 100 ft	\$	117.00
3/4 INCH RIGID CONDUIT	Per 100 ft	\$	124. 2 0
1 INCH RIGID CONDUIT	Per 100 ft	\$	212.85
UNDERGROUND DIRECT BURIAL WIRE 12-3	3 grey wire per 1000 ft	\$	723.60
PVC SCHEDULE 40-1/2-INCH	Per 100 ft	\$	14.93
PVC SCHEDULE 40-3/4-INCH	Per 100 ft	\$	22.94
PVC SCHEDULE 40-1-INCH	Per 100 ft	\$	26.53
SINGLE GANG OUTDOOE ENCLOSURE	Bell Box	\$	5.00
GFCI-RECEPTACLE	Each	\$	10.50

FIXTURES - NATURAL GAS

VENDOR	Fireplace Patio
	7201 S Kingery Hwy, Willowbrook
	Contact:
	Philip Little 630-325-8300
	info@fireplacepatiodesign.com

FIXTURES	PART NO	COLOR	MATERIAL	COST			
NATURAL GAS							
MODEL NO 25							
Α	HK1A	BLACK	ALUMINUM	\$	152.25		
B	BRHK1A	RUSTIC IRON	ALUMINUM	\$	164.85		
с	WHK1A	WHITE	ALUMINUM	\$	164.85		
D	HK1B	BRASS	BRASS	\$	309.75		
MODEL NO 26				_			
A	GG2A	BLACK	ALUMINUM	\$	162.75		
<u>B</u>	WGG2A	WHITE	ALUMINUM	\$	175.35		
MODEL NO 27							
A	НЈЗА	BLACK	ALUMINUM	\$	175.35		
B	WHJ3A	WHITE	ALUMINUM	\$	187.95		
<u>C</u>	НЈЗВ	BRASS	BRASS	<u> </u>	326.55		
MODEL NO 28							
A	WK5A	BLACK	ALUMINUM	\$	206.85		
В	BRWK5A	RUSTIC IRON	ALUMINUM	\$	219.45		
С	WWK5A	WHITE	ALUMINUM	\$	219.45		
MODEL NO 29							
Α	VK7A	BLACK	ALUMINUM	\$	222.60		
В	WVK7A WHITE ALUMINUM		\$	235.20			
POLE MODEL	GAS LIGHT POLE	BLACK	ALUMINUM		99.00		

2010 COACH LIGHT PROGRAM - ELECTRIC

				RAG'S E	ELÉ	CTRIC					
INCANDESCENT / SOLAR / LED				COMPANY INC			ALL ELECTRIC INC				
DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST BASIS	UN	IT COST	TO	FAL COST	UN	IT COST	TOT	AL COST
ASSESSMENT OF SCOPE OF WORK- SITE VISIT	1-1000	LUMP SUM	1 X Unit Cost	\$	75.00	\$	75.00		s -	\$	
LABOR TO REPAIR EXISTING COACH LAMP	TO BE FIELD DETERMINED	PER HOUR	(HOURLY) Unit Cost	\$	90.00	\$	90.00	\$	85.00	\$	85.00
INSTALLATION FOR COACH LAMP TO SOURCE	TO BE FIELD DETERMINED	LINEAL FOOT	Lineal Foot X Unit Cost	\$	10.00	\$	10.00	\$	8.00	\$	8.00
PVC AND CONDUIT INSTALLATION FOR COACH LAMP TO SOURCE	TO BE FIELD DETERMINED	LINEAL FOOT	Lineal Foot X Unit Cost	\$	9.00	\$	9.00	\$	6.00	\$	6.00
DIRECT BURIAL CABLE AND INSTALLATION FOR COACH LAMP TO SOURCE	TO BE FIELD DETERMINED	LINEAL FOOT	Lineal Foot X Unit Cost	\$	7.00	\$	7.00	\$	5.00	\$	5.00
REMOVAL OF THE EXISTING COACH LIGHT	1-1000	LUMP SUM	1 X Unit Cost	\$	45.00	\$	45.00	\$	125.00	\$	125.00
INCANDESCENT HEAD-NEW INSTALLATION	1-1000	PER UNIT	1 X Unit Cost	\$	45.00	\$	45.00	\$	95.00	\$	95.00
LED-HEAD NEW INSTALLATION	1-1000	PER UNIT	1 X Unit Cost	\$	45.00	\$	45.00	\$	95.00	\$	95.00
SOLAR HEAD NEW INSTALLATION	1-1000	PER UNIT	1 X Unit Cost	\$	45.00	\$	45.00	\$	95.00	\$	95.00
COACH LIGHT POLE NEW INSTALLATION-EXISTING HEAD	1-1000	PER UNIT	1 X Unit Cost	\$	300.00		300.00		150.00	\$	150.00
GFCI AT POLE COACH LIGHT & POLE - NEW	1-1000	PER UNIT	1 X Unit Cost	\$	175.00	\$	175.00	\$	75.00	\$	75.00
INSTALLATION	1-1000	PER UNIT	1 X Unit Cost	\$	300.00	\$	300.00	\$	250.00	\$	250.00
TOTAL COST						\$	1,146.00			\$	989.00
BORING											
Gaffney's PMI	(Minimal) 50	1" CONDUIT - LINEAL FOOT	Lineal Foot X Unit Cost	\$	7.50	\$	375.00				
Gaffney's PMI		2" CONDUIT - LINEAL FOOT	Lineal Foot X Unit Cost	\$	8.50	\$	425.00				

2010 COACH LIGHT PROGRAM - NATURAL GAS

NATURAL GAS					FI	REP	LACE PATIO)
DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST BASIS	UN	IT COST	T	OTAL COST	COMMENTS
ASSESSMENT OF SCOPE OF WORK-SITE VISIT	1-1000	LUMP SUM	1 X	\$	_	\$	-	
LABOR TO REPAIR EXISTING COACH LAMP	TO BE FIELD DETERMINED	PER HR	1 X	\$	70.00	\$	70.00	
CONDUIT INSTALLATION FOR COACH LAMP TO SOURCE	TO BE FIELD DETERMINED	LINEAL FOOT	Lineal Foot X Unit Cost	\$	2.65	\$	2.65	Up to 10'
CONDUIT INSTALLATION FOR COACH LAMP TO SOURCE	TO BE FIELD DETERMINED	LINEAL FOOT	Lineal Foot X Unit Cost	\$	9.25	\$	9.25	10' & higher
REMOVAL OF EXISTING COACH LIGHT	1-1000	LUMP SUM	1X	\$	239.00	\$	239.00	
NEW INSTALLATION OF COACH LIGHT POLE AND LAMP	1-1000	LUMP SUM	1X	\$	239.00	\$	239.00	
NEW INSTALLATION OF COACH LIGHT HEAD	1-1000	LUMP SUM	1X	\$	125.00	\$	125.00	
STANDARD GAS COACH LIGHT HEAD	1-1000	PER UNIT	1 X	\$	125.00	\$	125.00	
STANDARD GAS COACH LIGHT POLE	1-1000	PER UNIT	1 X Unit Cost	\$	99.00	\$	99.00	
UPGRADED GAS COACH LIGHT HEAD	1-1000	PER UNIT	1 X	\$	125.00	\$	125.00	
UPGRADED GAS COACH LIGHT POLE	1-1000	PER UNIT	1 X Unit Cost		N/A		N/A	
TOTAL COST						\$	1,033.90	

MINUTES CITY OF DARIEN MUNICIPAL SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING September 27, 2010

PRESENT: Alderman Joseph Marchese, Alderman John Galan, Alderman Ted Schauer, Dan Gombac-Director, Michael Griffith – Senior Planner, Elizabeth Lahey-Secretary

ABSENT: None.

Chairperson Marchese called the Municipal Services Committee Meeting to order at 6:30 p.m. at City Hall – City Council Chambers, Darien, Illinois and declared a quorum present.

MEETING:

A. Resolution – Acceptance of Public Improvements – Mayada Brook

Mr. Dan Gombac, Director reported that the resolution is to accept the public improvements of the Mayada Brook Subdivision located north of 67th Street and west of Bentley. He reported that the residential subdivision contains 8 lots of which 4 are built out. Mr. Gombac stated that the City Engineer reviewed and approved the as-built plans. He reported that the developer could not be in attendance. Mr. Gombac stated that the developer has one standing invoice in the amount of just under one-thousand dollars and due to financial hardship has requested and was approved with a three month payment plan. The maintenance letter of credit would cover the amount in the event of default.

There was no one in the audience wishing to present public comment.

Alderman Galan made a motion and it was seconded by Alderman Schauer to approve A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS SUBJECT TO MAINTENANCE (MAYADA BROOK).

Upon voice vote, THE MOTION CARRIED unanimously 3-0.

B. Ordinance – 622 72nd Street: Petitioner seeks a variation to reduce the required side yard setback from 10 feet to 6.8 feet for an addition to an attached garage.

С.

Mr. Michael Griffith, Senior Planner presented the staff report. He stated that the petitioner proposes to construct an addition to enlarge the existing attached garage for storage of an auto. Mr. Griffith reported that the PZC held a public hearing and that they recommended approval of the variation. He reported that a letter was submitted from the neighbor to the west from the attorney Robert Claes dated September 20, 2010. Mr. Griffith reported that Mr. Claes was present and that the petitioner could not be in attendance but that their attorney, Gabriella Comstock was present. He stated that the petitioner provided elevations for a basic garage.

Alderman Galan stated that he had an issue with the request being that it was close to the neighbor. He stated that he was surprised at the PZC's approval of the request and that it appears that there is an encroachment issue for the neighbor.

Mr. Griffith reported that the PZC recommended approval with a 5-2 vote. He stated that the two Commissioners that voted against the petition did so because they thought it would alter the character of the neighborhood. He further stated that there were comments that this request is one that was in line with what the City has approved in the past.

Alderman Galan asked if staff could provide a few examples.

Mr. Griffith reported that a variation to a home at 109 68^{th} Street was approved for a setback just under five feet and was related to a garage. He also reported that a side yard setback related to a garage for 4.7 feet in R-2 was approved at 1418 Plainfield Road. Mr. Griffith reported that 8001 Sauk Mill Creek was approved for a 7 ½ ft. porch enclosure as well as a 7 ½ ft. setback simple variation at 7309 Seminole Drive.

Mr. Griffith reported that 2919 87th Street added a garage to the house and a setback of 3 feet was granted. He stated that this approval is the most comparable and that all of the examples occurred over a ten year period.

Mr. Gombac, Director reported that the subdivision was developed under Dupage County.

Alderman Schauer questioned if there were any runoff issues with the home.

Mr. Gombac reported that staff reviews every addition with regard to runoff. He stated that the sheet flow runs in two directions. He further stated that the property to the west has a swale that carries storm water. Mr. Gombac stated that if granted that staff recommends that the downspouts be installed to flow to an under drain to the front to the City's right-of-way or to the back that flows in two other directions. He stated that staff does not feel that there is an impact.

Alderman Galan stated that he struggles with how the impacted homeowner feels and that this should weigh heavily on a decision.

Mr. Robert Claes, Attorney representing the Werner and Anne Thiele Family Trust, 626 72^{nd} Street stated that he submitted two letters to the PZC and the Municipal Services Committee stating objection to the request. Mr. Claes presented photos to the Committee. He stated that the photos show side yards of 30-40 feet and that the side yard exceeds the Code requirements. He further stated that there is an elevation difference and that a surveyor showed that there is $26 \frac{1}{2}$ ft. difference before the variation and that it is out of character. Mr. Claes stated that the elevation is just under a foot and that there would be some impact of water flow and the underground storage is only going to direct the water.

Mr. Claes stated that Mr. Griffith did not provide any examples of any variation approvals in

R-1. He stated that because of Darien's Home Rule that a hardship does not have to be demonstrated and that the Ordinance requires that there should be a "need". Mr. Claes stated that there is room on both sides to allow access to an accessory structure.

Ms. Gabriella Comstock, Attorney for the petitioner stated that the petitioner could not be present but that the petitioner's father was present. She stated that her client considered the neighbor and that the structure in the back of the home would have an adverse affect with the driveway along the side. She further stated that a driveway along the side would require removal of trees and bushes and that although a variation would not be needed that the privacy would be taken away. Ms. Comstock stated that the structure as requested keeps in line with the character of the homes in the neighborhood.

Ms. Comstock stated that the petitioner would not object to the downspout under drain tie-ins and that they do not want to create a drainage issue. She stated that the petitioner indicated that they would do whatever is necessary to not create any drainage issues.

Alderman Galan stated that a driveway on the west side would not require a variation and that the 5 yard set back would allow them to get a permit.

Mr. Gombac stated that there would be removal of several mature trees.

Chairperson Marchese questioned how the petitioner would proceed if the request was not granted.

Ms. Comstock stated that the petitioner will consider other options and what can be done to the rear of the home.

Mr. Claes stated that his client would prefer an accessory structure over an addition.

Ms. Comstock stated that an accessory structure would have an adverse affect on privacy and the trees.

There was no one in the audience wishing to present public comment.

Alderman Galan made a motion and it was seconded by Alderman Schauer that based upon the submitted petition and the information presented, the request associated with PZC 2010-13 is in conformance with the standards of the Darien City Code and move that the Municipal Services Committee recommend approval of the petition.

Upon voice vote, THE MOTION CARRIED 2-1. Marchese, Schauer – AYE Galan – NAY

Chairperson Marchese announced that this would be forwarded to the City Council and placed on the consent agenda.

C. Ordinance – 1406 Plainfield Road: Petitioner seeks a variation to permit a detached accessory structure, a garage, within an interior side yard, and to reduce the required rear yard setback from 30 feet to 14.7 feet for an addition to the residence.

Mr. Michael Griffith, Senior Planner presented the staff report. He reported that the PZC held a public hearing on September 15th and recommended approval of the variation. He stated that the variation is to permit a detached accessory structure, a garage, within the interior side yard and to reduce the required rear yard setback for an addition to the residence.

Mr. Griffith reported that the rear yard addition that encroaches is also a code enforcement issue. He stated that this is a classic variation where the lot is unique and that if the house was built today it would likely need a variation. He further stated that even if the garage were in the back a variation would still be needed because of the utility easement. Mr. Griffith reported that there were no comments at the public hearing but that the petitioner provided correspondence from the neighbor supporting their request.

Chairperson Marchese questioned if the petitioner had a permit for the roof.

Mr. Griffith reported that the petitioner obtained a permit to re-roof the house. He stated that based on aerial photos that the room on the back is much larger than the previous structure and required a permit.

Alderman Galan questioned if it has been taken down.

Mr. Dan Gombac, Director stated that the addition has already been built and without a permit. He stated that the petitioner has received a letter of what needs to be done in order to assure building code compliance.

Chairperson Marchese stated that the petitioner was told not to finish construction until the issue was resolved.

Mr. Griffith stated that the detached garage is 11 feet from the property line and 5 feet from the house. He stated that the PZC asked why the garage couldn't be attached and that the petitioner stated that it was a cost factor.

There was no one in the audience wishing to present public comment.

Alderman Schauer made a motion and it was seconded by Alderman Galan that based upon the submitted petition and the information presented, the request associated with PZC 2010-12 is in conformance with the standards of the Darien City Code and move that the Municipal Services Committee recommend approval of the petition subject to:

- 1. The shed along the east lot line (12.2 feet x 16.2 feet) is to be removed upon completion of the addition and garage or building permit is to be issued and it is to comply with the Darien City Code.
- 2. All applicable building code requirements are to be met for the addition and garage. Also, a sign off will be required from the architect as attached from 12/12 Architects and Planners and dated September 7 and September 9, 2010.

Upon voice vote, THE MOTION CARRIED unanimously 3-0

Chairperson Marchese announced that this would be forwarded to the City Council and placed on the consent agenda.

D. Resolution – Execute a joint purchasing requisition through the Illinois Department of Transportation Central Management Services to North American Salt Company for the annual purchase of Rock Salt in an amount not to exceed \$205,755.00.

Mr. Dan Gombac, Director presented the staff report. He stated that this resolution is to authorize a joint purchasing requisition through he Illinois Department of Central Management Services to North American Salt Company for the annual purchase of Rock Salt.

Mr. Gombac stated that Morton Salt quoted a better price and that he contacted CMS regarding the penalty. He reported that the City would have to commit to 80% regardless and that staff will further review salt suppliers and bulk quantity pricing in February or March.

Mr. Gombac stated that \$205,755 is Darien's proposed expenditure.

Alderman Galan stated that approving the Salt Storage Facility was the best decision yet because salt may be purchased in bulk and stored and pending the end of the year inventory the remainder may be purchased for the following year.

Alderman Schauer questioned what the cost per ton was last year.

Mr. Gombac stated that four years ago it was \$35 per ton and believed the pricing last year was in the 80 dollar range.

There was no one in the audience wishing to present public comment.

Alderman Galan made a motion and it was seconded by Alderman Schauer to accept A RESOLUTION TO EXECUTE A JOINT PURCHASING REQUISITION THROUGH THE ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CENTRAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES TO NORTH AMERICAN SALT COMPANY FOR THE ANNUAL PURCHASE OF ROCK SALT FOR ROADWAY DEICING OPERATIONS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$224,834.10. Upon voice vote, THE MOTION CARRIED unanimously 3-0.

E. Resolution – Execute an Intergovernmental Agreement with Darien Park District for the reimbursement of Rock Salt.

Mr. Dan Gombac, Director presented the staff report. He stated that the resolutions authorizes the Mayor and the City Clerk to execute an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Darien Park District for the reimbursement of Rock Salt through the City's 2010 Rock Salt Agreement with North American Salt Company.

There was no one in the audience wishing to present public comment.

Alderman Galan made a motion and it was seconded by Alderman Schauer to accept A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND CITY CLERK TO EXECUTE AN INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF DARIEN AND THE DARIEN PARK DISTRICT FOR ROCK SALT.

Upon voice vote, THE MOTION CARRIED unanimously 3-0.

F. Resolution – Executive an Intergovernmental Agreement with Center Cass School District #66 for the reimbursement of Rock Salt.

Mr. Dan Gombac, Director presented the staff report. He stated that the resolution authorizes the Mayor and the City Clerk to execute an Intergovernmental Agreement with Center Cass School District #66 for the reimbursement of Rock Salt through the City's 2010 Rock Salt Agreement with North American Salt Company.

There was no one in the audience wishing to present public comment.

Alderman Galan made a motion and it was seconded by Alderman Schauer to accept A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND CITY CLERK TO EXECUTE AN INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF DARIEN AND CENTER CASS SCHOOL DISTRICT #66 FOR ROCK SALT.

Upon voice vote, THE MOTION CARRIED unanimously 3-0.

G. Resolution – Accepting quotes from the following vendors for materials and services to remove and replace the siding, soffit, fascia, gutters and roof at Plant Two – 1220 Plainfield Road in an amount not to exceed \$6,433.00.

Mr. Dan Gombac, Director presented the staff report. He stated that this resolution is to accept quotes from the listed vendors for materials and services to remove and replace the siding, soffit, fascia, gutters and roof at Plant Two -1220 Plainfield Road. He further stated that roofers do not dabble in siding and gutters and that staff reconstructed competitive quotes for the trades.

There was no one in the audience wishing to present public comment.

Alderman Schauer made a motion and it was seconded by Alderman Galan approving A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING QUOTES FOR MATERIALS AND SERVICES TO REMOVE AND REPLACET HE SIDING, SOFFIT, FASCIA, GUTTERS AND ROOF AT PLANT TWO-1220 PLAINFIELD ROAD IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$6,433.00.

Upon voice vote, THE MOTION CARRIED unanimously 3-0.

H. Resolution – Accepting quotes from the following vendors for materials and services to remove and replace the gutters and roof at Plant Three – 1930 Manning Road in an amount not to exceed \$12,972.00.

Mr. Dan Gombac, Director presented the staff report. He stated that this resolution is to accept quotes from the listed vendors for materials and services to remove and replace the siding, soffit, fascia, gutters and roof at Plant Three- 1930 Manning Road.

There was no one in the audience wishing to present public comment.

Alderman Schauer made a motion and it was seconded by Alderman Galan approving A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING QUOTES FOR MATERIALS AND SERVICES TO REMOVE AND REPLACE THE SIDING, SOFFIT, FASCIA, GUTTERS AND ROOF AT PLANT THREE – 1930 MANNING ROAD IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$12,972.00.

Upon voice vote, THE MOTION CARRIED unanimously 3-0.

I. Resolution – Accepting quotes from the following vendors for materials and services to remove and replace the siding, soffit, fascia, gutters and roof at Plant Four – 1897 Manning Road in an amount not to exceed \$10,695.00.

Mr. Dan Gombac, Director presented the staff report. He stated that this resolution is to accept quotes from the listed vendors for materials and services to remove and replace the siding, soffit, fascia, gutters and roof at Plant Four - 1897 Manning Road.

There was no one in the audience wishing to present public comment.

Alderman Galan made a motion and it was seconded by Alderman Schauer approving A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING QUOTES FOR MATERIALS AND SERVICES TO REMOVE AND REPLACE THE SIDING, SOFFIT, FASCIA, GUTTERS AND ROOF AT PLANT FOUR - 1897 MANNING ROAD IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$10,695.00.

Upon voice vote, THE MOTION CARRIED unanimously 3-0.

J. Resolution – Accepting quotes from the following vendors for materials and services to remove and replace the siding, fascia, gutters and roof at Plant Five – 8600 Lemont Road in an amount not to exceed \$12,944.00.

Mr. Dan Gombac, Director presented the staff report. He stated that this resolution is to accept quotes from the listed vendors for materials and services to remove and replace the siding, soffit, fascia, gutters and roof at Plant Five – 8600 Lemont Road.

There was no one in the audience wishing to present public comment.

Alderman Schauer made a motion and it was seconded by Alderman Galan approving A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING QUOTES FOR MATERIALS AND SERVICES TO REMOVE AND REPLACE THE SIDING, SOFFIT, FASCIA, GUTTERS AND ROOF AT PLANT FIVE – 8600 LEMONT ROAD IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$12,944.00.

Upon voice vote, THE MOTION CARRIED unanimously 3-0.

K. Discussion – Coach Light Program

Mr. Dan Gombac, Director reported that at the request of the Committee that staff further explore options for a Coach Light Program. He stated that staff mailed out a survey and that 77 responses were received.

Mr. Gombac reported on Natural Gas Coach lights and stated that NICOR does not have any programs. He stated that there is a business in St. Charles that sells fixtures and installs the gas coach lights. He further stated that there is a permit fee for two inspections for \$70.

Mr. Gombac reported that Incandescent/LED Coach lights also could require up to two inspections ranging from \$35 to \$70 for an electric coach light. He reported that Solor Coach light could be a fee of \$35 to disconnect the electric which is for one inspection.

Alderman Galan questioned how many of the surveyed have coach lights.

Mr. Gombac reported that the responses were mixed.

Alderman Galan stated that the focus should be on repairs and existing lights and that he preferred electric over gas.

Chairperson Marchese stated he would like to see people encouraged to put in the lights and waive the \$75 fee.

Mr. Gombac stated that Home Depot is interested in the program and that he would get proposals to move the program along.

Mr. Kevin Monahan was in the audience and suggested that the City make sure an inspection is done for liability to the City and requested that Staff contact the City Attorney for direction.

The Committee directed Staff to seek proposals for the fixture and installations on behalf of the residents. Director Gombac informed the Committee that Staff will present a program for Committee consideration at the October 25, 2010 Municipal Services Committee meeting.

L. Minutes

Alderman Galan made a motion and it was seconded by Alderman Schauer to approve the August 23, 2010 Municipal Services Committee Meeting Minutes.

Upon voice vote, THE MOTION CARRIED unanimously 3-0.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. Dan Gombac, Director reported that Speedway applied for their final permit and that the tentative schedule to shut down is 5-7 days.

Mr. Gombac reported that he would like to propose a fence program for corridors such as 75th Street, Cass Ave, Plainfield Road for residents and also review and amend the Code to 8 ft for the proposed applications.

Chairperson Marchese reported that he received a letter from Mr. Greg Speers from the Saw Mill Creek Homeowners Association regarding the City's storm water running into their sewer line. He stated that he forwarded the letter to Mr. Gombac

Mr. Gombac stated that he would schedule a meeting with Mr. Speers and Chairperson Marchese.

NEXT MEETING:

Chairperson Marchese announced that the next regularly scheduled meeting is scheduled for Monday, October 25, 2010 at 6:30 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT:

With no further business before the Committee, Alderman Schauer made a motion and it was seconded by Alderman Galan to adjourn. Upon voice vote, THE MOTION CARRIED unanimously and the meeting adjourned at 7:49 p.m.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

APPROVED:

Elizabeth Lahey Secretary Joseph Marchese Chairperson

John Galan Alderman Ted Schauer Alderman

AGENDA MEMO Municipal Services Committee October 25, 2010

ISSUE STATEMENT

A discussion regarding to date budget comparisons to actual expenditures.

BACKGROUND/HISTORY

The Municipal Services Committee requested a six month review regarding actual expenditures in comparison to the FY11 Budget. Attached and labeled as pages 3-4 is a summary of capital project expenditures and larger budget items. The spreadsheets have been formatted with labeled columns and rows for easy reference. The columns are labeled as follows:

- A. Item or Project-Identifies the designated Project or Program
- B. Account Number-Identifies the designated account for the project. Please note several projects/programs share the same account numbers. (e.g. Drainage Improvements)
- C. Amount Budgeted-Represents the City Council approved expenditure allocation as of May 1, 2010.
- D. City Council Approved Funds-Represents the approved Committee and City Council expenditure for the project or program and is based on the results of a competitive bid/quote through an Agenda Memo.
- E. Actual Expenditure-Represents the final cost of a project. Please note there are several accounts where total expenses will not be finalized until April 30, 2011, e.g. Street Light Maintenance, Rock Salt, 2011 Road Maintenance Core Engineering Agreement.
- F. Difference Between Actual Expenditure (Column E) and City Council Approved (Column D)-This item reflects, in dollars, the difference between the final expenditure and City Council approved expenditure.
- G. Difference Between Actual Expenditure (Column E) and City Council Approved (Column D)-This item reflects, as a percentage, the difference between the final expenditure and City Council approved expenditure.
- H. Difference Between Amount Budgeted (C) and Actual Expenditure (E) Funds Available-This item represents the funds available.
- I. Difference Between Amount Budgeted (C) and Actual Expenditure (E) Funds Available-This item represents the percentage available.
- J. Comments-Presents Staffs Comments

The Municipal Services Department oversees an expenditure budget of approximately \$10 M dollars. Please note that Staff begins project estimates six to eight months prior to the fiscal year, and maintains a conservative approach towards estimating for budgets. City Staff envisions that many of our bid/quote estimates for FY12 will have a 0-5% increase due to the current economic conditions. Staff is also suggesting that the bid process for the 2011 Road Maintenance Program and Concrete Program continue to be bid in the first quarter of 2011. This year City Staff was able to identify cost saving opportunities on various projects and equipment. Many of the department's projects are unit based and unforeseen field conditions could occur therefore dictating changes in quantities and material that affect the bottom line.

2010 Budget Summary Review October 25, 2010 Page 2

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff will continue to review estimating practices and aggressively seek economies of scale for products and services. Additional feedback and discussion to be presented by the Committee.

ALTERNATE DECISION

Item is for discussion only and/or as recommended by the Committee.

DECISION MODE

None

ĸ	Самалени	Competitive quate results	Comocitive sume 17 ults		Comestitive quate seculu	This (no item will be over by approximately \$7,000 over	thudget due to the storms in fuly and August	unient:	The sidewalk program is now on maintenance schedule and field events identified a submission of the firm issue		risig congritoni sepurat andrigual carb and guite quandries		53,000 Field conditions required additional ctack scaling quantities	itheat	ument	uvent	Additional work was identified during the quote process		utrizat	Contingency of 513,560 not utilized and field conditions altowed for estruing of existing attractiones and reduced conte	Drainage infrastucture identified during the excavation process		TETRICE IN THE INT THE INTERNAL	During the layout process it was identified that additional piping was required due to the insufficient 1,23% ilope tequired.	Field escavation of termination point allower leyout adjustment and allowed amountmine for error sections	destinitioned and second and the second	neut vorstete senterat wat rot separate			vneat	Dist.	Real	thent		artit	11,11,11,11,11,11,11,11,11,11,11,11,11,			Cumpetitive Bid Results	live Bid Result	Competitive Bid Results
			Competi		Competi	Tais	taudget d	No Comment	The tide				0 Field cur	No Control	No Comment	No Comment	Addream		No Comment					a Fritud S Letter				1-		No Comment	No Comment	No Comment	No Comment		No Comment	No Comment	Nu Cumment	_	Cumpeti	Lompeti	Competit
'n	Contlugency-Nat Used	×	/A		A.		NIA	IA.			VIN	4	00'65	IA.	N/A	۲A.	NIA		V.	C1 6 4/40		Not U.S.	MC'A F	ā	13		NIA	001,011		NIA	Y.	V	NIA		K.N	N/H	NiA		V/V	V :	×
	Difference Between Amount Budgeted (C) Eatenditure (E) Ferentage	50	IN 1885 01		29.2454 N/A		A 11110	V/N SEE	A SHOEL DE		4 DON 0	4/1771	101.01	29,60% N/A	N 36r6.EL	R. IB54 N/A	-24.0255		V/N 54F1-EE-			1767 11		-1.13%	31 0445	1111 I.C				1.04%	11 5634 N/A	V/N SCID:C	L.14%		<u>×</u>	2	N. 7296 N		N %+00	0.00% N/A	V/N 5600'0
Ξ	Difference Between Amount Budgeted (C) and Actual Expenditure (E) Funda Avallable	115.00	t a 115 GD		11.00		107.062.(1)	5 265.00	04 914 11 S		NO 841 17 3		s 9,500.00	5 2.705.00	- 1	S #75.00	10072057001	1	£ (\$5.500.00)	en din Go	2	1,100 00		100'966'11 5	1 000 00		00'/MM'FT 5	102,404,00		65'665'E1 5	37 177 1 26	5 124.95	5 15,59E,30			-	5 1,179.67		5,00	•	•
U	Difference Batween Actual Espendibure (E) and City Council Approved (D) Presental at a Percentage	14000	700U		29.24%		NET DE	¥1171	7550 14	_	1967.75-		-14.29%			0.00%	20010		0.00%	16 16		AVET I	1276 17	41.15%			9000			561.0	N/A	1012	0.13%		1000	2.675	1,7895.1		1000	5600.0	0 0001 2
12	Difference Between Actual Espend/Jure (E) and City Council Approved (U) Presented as Funds	•	•		131.00		5	265.00					(000.000,5)				5		•	K KII GUN DU		1.6 000 01	Prototo 1	t (1,996.00)	4 MM M			5 83,294.00	_	5 t,286,509.41	102.245.20		זרו		•	701.67	101.07		·	·	•
 ы	Actual Espenditure	2	-		[\$ 18,440.50 S	5 7,735.00 5	1 00000				5 70'E00 20 2			5 9,320.00	\$ 12,944,00		1 00'000'0CT 1	68 000 00				5 174,996.00		00'000'0	- <u>I</u>	4—		\$ 1,786,500.41	10.145.20		+		1 00/02/21 1	1 26,070,31	3 11/12/21 2		21,591,00	00'502'2	102'501'1 3
-	Clty Council Approved Funds	K 4,050,00	1	1,500.00			~	5 B.000.00	00 010 001 3	201020-012	119 740 00		35,000.00	6,431.00	00'14'21		5 17,944,00		\$ 120,000,00	5 111 RAD FO		5 110,030 (P		171,000.00	00.000	100.000		F		\$1,198,471 \$		00 191 9	\$ 1, 3D4.934.34		12,710,00	5 24.772.60	00.55E/NE 2		21,591,001	20120111	5 J, 103 00
0	Amount Sadgered	5 4.475.00					5 13,150,00	5 N,000.00	1	i			5 49,500.00	00'91'6 5		\$ 10,693.00	10,417,00	ł	5 164,500.00		1	1	N7:N0N' 17 6	171,000,00				5		25-35-4176 5 1,100,000.00	X 12.164.96		2		80'000'FI \$	5 30,000 DE	11,000.04			1202.00	100.001
-	Account Na.	1-	-	+	1		01-30-4175	[124-0[-10		+	farmerier		2164-06-20		-1	-1	1224-02-20	-1	25-30-413	14.101.176		1		97E1-4E-4Z	14 14 2170		9/11-01-02			25-35-4176	REDAID1705ED 5	REMBURSED 5				12(1-4)[-10				05Et-0E-10	
v	lina ar Project	Arrow Buard	Auntuck Bru Trailer	Aspendic store statutes of July	Banitades - United Fest		Tree and Shurtp Removal	Tub Grinder		CHIRCHARK FTOURSHIT	Cutb and Guitter Program		Crack SeattFill Frugram	Siding Rouf -Plant Two	Siding Roof-Plant There	Siding Roof-Plant Four	Siding Roof-Plant Five		Salt Dome	territoria de la construction de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la		Chainage lines Project A-fUEvans Place	ערבעשטעם אגנטוביז זיין ערבעשטעם אגנטוב	Drainave Imary Protect C-10 Western Avenue			Brainage impy Project 13.29 Winictperity Lane Decision Lane Braine Birnhammed Berk Cive	Drainave Project Total		Road Program-Capital Impw	Steructacing (Jumer Peter Count)Paving & C&G Pavins \$10.345.20 C&C \$336.00	Resurfacing (Hemilock Lane)	Total 2018 Road Program		Provement Cortops (2010 Provincia Program)	Contract and Bid Specifications-December-January	Total 2010 Road Engineering		Fertilization Program - 75th St Turf Areas	41 Fertilization Program - 75th St Trees	42 Maintenance - Water Flants
-		Г	Т		6	1	-1	8 [Tub		T		1		-r			· · · [20 Sal			5 1		- 10 51		-1-		រ រ		31 No	32 Pav	T		1-1					40 Fa	41 1 1 1 1 1	42 Wr

s Comp
eperation equ
Ť
2
genFYE
ξ.
b a
Ę
(psu
Pursa
ų.
5
ĝ
-

												ſ								T										trough tocal Jaba														
¥		Competitive Bid Results	Competitive Bid Results		No Connent	No Comment	Nie Comment		Government Pricing Secural	Net Comment	Competitive Hid Actuals	Competitive Bid Renults		Cumpctitive Bid Results														Na Comment		(Analytical Teacing was competitively bid through tocal labs evenue the State Lab Provision							1 TUD		Campetitive Bid Results					
•	Castlageocy-Nol Uard	NIA	NIA		NIA	NIA	NIA		NIA	NIA	NIA	NIA		NIA														N/A		N/N							T8D		NIA				001.212	
1	Difference Between Amound Budgeted (C) Expandinue (E) Perenetage	0.00%	O.D.F. NIA		15.77% N/A	I 4155 N/A	N/N 425-21		1995 NIA	5400.0	V/N 556 E1	21155 N/A		1 26 28 - 0-														V/N SEE 0-		111							T8D		V/N 552F1E				36 EF L	
н	Difference Between Amount Budgeted (C) and Actual Expenditure (E) Funda Available	5 · ·	2006 \$		5 3,867.00	5 2,571.00	00 957'8 S		\$ \$0.00		199.90	X 840.00		5 (00:22			-											125.001		1 444 DH		-							¥ 94.345.00		_		212.466.76	
U	Difference Between Actual Expenditure (E) and City Council Approved (D) Presented an Presented an	3600.0	2,000,0		0,0050	D.00%			2,005,0	2600.0	19.98%	54000		0.00%																C OUNC							0.0015		D.00%				176915	
F	Difference Belween Actual Expenditure (E) and City Council Approved (D) Presented as Funda					5	5		5		5 199,50			5														\$ (125.00)					•				•		. 2				5 (07.951.60	
E	Actual Expenditure	5 (,ZJ6.37			00,925,15 2	11,999,00	5 59,123,00		I.	5 50.00	s 1,600.30 s	1 1 620 10		\$ 6,555,00			ł		5 1.400.00	ł	00'557'4 S		4 000 00			12,125,00	5 3,000.00	5 15,125.00		1015.00		\$ 68,000.00	• 5	5 4,495.00	5 4,757.00	5 4,420.00	\$ 77,252.00	-		5 18,705.00	\$ 374.ID	81'152'122 5	12.281.124.2	
a	City Council Approved Funda	5 1,23E.57			100'611'11 \$	5 17,999,00	100.021.00	1		1 50.00	5 2,000.00 5	X 7 620 001 X		L 6,555.00			5 4,400.00				00 577,4 2		6 00 00			5 13,000.00				2011.000		5 68,000.00	•	5 4,493.00	00727.4 2	5 4,420.00	\$ 77,252.00		5	5	\$ 374,1D	9 134/HY 16	2 10111-0101 2	
U	Amount Dudgeted	72.062.1 2			17,196.00				5 1,675.00	\$ 50.00	2,000.00 5	1 300 00		5 6,500 DG			15,000.00									S 15,000.00				90 000 91 - 3		5 68,000.00	•	5 12,060:00			2 10,000,00		300,00	02'EI	5 J74.1D		72.494.620.6 2	
B	Attauni Ma.	01-10-1227			23-30-4615	210-401-22			02-50-4815		01-30-415	101-01-10		01-50-4815			61-JB-4174				01-30-4374		nt.10.174			01-30-4374				100 01 00		01-30-4139	01-J0-155	01-30-4359	6511-01-10	55£1~0£~10			03-60-1249	REMBURSED 5	REIMBURSED			
V	lina or Prylici	Maintenance - City Hall	Total Fertifization Prostan		Ford F-250 + Unit 400	Ford P-230 - Unit 403	Eapedition Total		taptop - Toughbook	Lapton - Misc-Car adapters-Printers	Cancrele Saw	l andreann Trailee		Duve Tail Trailer	Hear Yard Drainage Project		70th & Maple Lane	Resident Rentburtenses	Project Cost 1310 71st St		71st Street and 4-2nd Street	Resident Restriburgeneers	Design Diversity Plants		Resident stelmoutsement Busides d'ast 1 4 an 21 a 45	Grand Total Right Yard Distance Projects	Resident Heimburgenent	Tutal				Street Light Maintenance & Energy	Rack Lenses	Siteet Light Installation-HoDy and Ilmokhank	Street Light Installation-700 Welbut Ave	Street Light Installation-Admics & Sleepy Holby	Teul		fload Salı	Darien Park District - Reimbursable	District 66	1Eg7	Tolsi Projects Summary	······································
-	14		1	45	1	47	Ę,	49		5	8	۲ ۲	38			- T	3	J	9	1	_		8 2	S F	₹	F	Τ-	12	1 1	t	1	F	1	-	82	58	T	86	67	55	68	99	16	

Page 24

2010 DITCH PROGRAM

Image How pleased are you Was your overall with this program? Did you receive courteous service? Comments 1 Kassing 7225 Evans Satisfied No Yes The grass was already dead when they laid it down in front of the house. Everyone else gol green grass & ours never came back its DEAD. PLEASE FIX OUR GRASS sod replacement would tak week of 9/13. Left a v/m for week of 9/13. Left a v/m for 2 Satisfied Yes Yes I would really hope that the dead grass that was planted, which was not good when it was planted, will be replaced. week of 9/13. Left a v/m for 3 Coulman 7309 Evans Very Pleased Yes Yes I would really hope that the dead grass that was planted, will be replaced. 4 7306 Brookbank Very Pleased Yes Yes I don't have standing water in my front yard for the first time since I moved in in 1984 4 7306 Brookbank Very Pleased Yes Yes Yes Always 5 Carla Pantoni 7317 Brookbank Very Pleased Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 7 7301 Evans Place Very Pleased Yes Yes Yes Yes No	e place the
Image: Section of the section of th	e place the
Image: Section of the section of th	e place the
2 Satisfied Yes Yes I would really hope that the dead grass that was planted, which was not good when 2 Satisfied Yes Yes I would really hope that the dead grass that was planted, which was not good when 3 Coulman 7309 Evans Very Pleased Yes Yes I don't have standing water in my front yard for the first time since I moved in in 1964	
2 Satisfied Yes Yes I would really hope that the dead grass that was planted, which was not good when 3 Coulman 7309 Evans Very Pleased Yes Yes I don't have standing water in my front yard for the first time since I moved in in 1984 3 Coulman 7309 Evans Very Pleased Yes Yes I don't have standing water in my front yard for the first time since I moved in in 1984 4 7306 Brookbank Very Pleased Yes Yes were going to work in front of your house so you could move your car. 4 7306 Brookbank Very Pleased Yes Yes Love that there is no longer a ditch in our front yard. The na parking sign in front of b/11-Gave to Dave Felf & puor house if facing the wrong way, please fix. 5 Carla Pantoni 7317 Brookbank Very Pleased Yes Yes Thank Youl 6 Mangner 7301 Evans Place Very Pleased Yes Yes Thank Youl I wery nice not to have the open ditch in the front yard. 7 7302 Evans Place Very Pleased Yes Yes Very nice not to have the open ditch in the front yard.	r resident
Image: Second	
3 Coulman 7309 Evans Very Pleased Yes Yes I don't have standing water in my front yard for the first time since I moved in in 1984 1	
Image: Strain	
Image: style styl	
4 7306 Brookbank Very Pleased Yes Yes 5 Carla Pantoni 7317 Brookbank Very Pleased Yes Yes 6 Mangner 7301 Evans Place Very Pleased Yes Yes 7 7302 Evans Place Very Pleased Yes Yes	
5 Carla Pantoni 7317 Brookbank Very Pleased Yes Yes Love that there is no longer a ditch in our front yard. The na parking sign in front of B/11-Gave to Dave Fell & putor for the na parking sign in front of 6 Mangner 7301 Evans Place Very Pleased Yes Yes Thank You! 7 7302 Evans Place Very Pleased Yes Yes Very nice not to have the open ditch in the front yard.	
6 Mangner 7301 Evans Place Very Pleased Yes Yes Thank You! 7 7302 Evans Place Very Pleased Yes Yes Very nice not to have the open ditch in the front yard.	
6 Mangner 7301 Evans Place Very Pleased Yes Thank You! 7 7302 Evans Place Very Pleased Yes Yes Very nice not to have the open ditch in the front yard.	ut in Web Q&A
7 7302 Evans Place Very Pleased Yes Yes Very nice not to have the open ditch in the front yard.	
8 Swartz 7318 Evans Place Somewhat Pleased Yes No No contact from a person, just leaflets. - <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
Image: Section of the section of th	

2010 STREET PROGRAM - 77TH ST

			How pleased are you	Was your overall	Did you receive			
	Name	Address	with this program?		courteous service?	Comments	Action Taken	Resolution
1	Norman Massion	1402 77th Street	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes			
2	Stephen Gull	1405 77th Street	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes	Everyone I spoke with was very nice and courteous. The curbs and street really		
						needed this. It was nice to have this work done, good program!		
з	Joseph Contole	1406 77th Street	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes	Dan & Public Works do an excellent job.		
4	Thomas Smith	1409 77th Street	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes	Knocked on our door to inform us to put car on opposite side walk they replaced old		
						curb with new curb-told us in advance when 77th St, would be ready to be driven on.		
						The employees were courteous and pleasant.		
5	Kristy Bosco	1413 77th Street	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes			
6	M, Kielma	1417 77th Street	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes			
7	Anderson	1426 77th Street	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes			
8	Caperton	1429 77th Street	Very Pleased	Yas		The job flow looked good. Street looks good, New blacktop stains on cement drive		
						but that will stop,		
9	Laskey	1430 77th Street	Displeased	Yes	Yes	I am displeased with the curb at the end of my driveway. I now have a BIG bomp to	Gave to Dan G.	
						go up and down. I would have preferred they lowered my curb at the end of my drive.		•
10	Ziomek	1433 77th Street	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes			
11	Lottia Borowski	1437 77th Street	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes			
12	Kampwirth	1438 77th Street	Very Fleased	Yes	Yes			
13	Gary Lussenhop	1501 77th Street	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes	Special thanks to Dan Gombac. When the street sweeper swept some of the debris		
			1			onto the fawns leaving a mess, Dan was called from John Poteraske and Dan came		
						out the same day to look at the problem. Next day a crew of guys cleaned up the		
						mess.		
14	Lillian Brown	1502 77th Street	Satisfied	Yes	Yes	Something was sprayed on my grass to mark where the pavement was replaced in	Gave to Dave Fell	Called resident-we will handle. I put in
						the street. What was it? Will the grass come back on its own?		work order for restoration.
15	Gordon Schulz	1506 77th Street	Vary Pleased	Yes	Yes			
16	Mullan	1509 77th Street	Somewhat Pleased	Yes	Yes	Overall my experience was good. I wasn't pleased that my new(er) driveway was		
					1	damaged however, everyone (Jim & Dan) went out of their way to address my		
						concerns. Thank you very much for your time and patience. The street really looks		
						nice.		
17	Brian Dorich	1510 77th Sireet	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes	Excellent service expecially Suburban Concrete for taking extra care of mine and		
						my neighbors driveways.		
	Stephanie Gills	1513 77th Street	Salisfied	Yes	Yes			
19	Kohis	1514 77th Street	Satisfied	Yes	Yes	I would suggest better communication regarding when we could or could not drive		
				l		on the street when company was working.		
	Wolter Blasco	1518 77th Street	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes	Very efficient work. They did a great job.		
	Garcia	1521 77th Street	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes			
	Dahlgren	1525 77th Street	Satisfied	Yes	Yes			
23	Cloninger	1529 77th Street	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes			

•

2010 STREET PROGRAM-Stratford

5

.

	<u>Name</u>	Address	How pleased are you with this program?	Was your overall experience satisfactory	Did you receive courteous service?	Comments
1	Gary Meyers	7721 Stratford	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes	
2	Joanna Skiris	7702 Stratford	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes	No problems!
3	Oscar Sales	7606 Stratford	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes	The contractors were nice to talk to. The space between the curb and lawn was filled
						with soil and grass seed by the city. Thank You Mayor Weaver.
4	Sharon Convalle	7621 Stratford Place	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes	Quick and neat, plenty of warning.
5	John Davis	7806 Stratford	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes	

•

.

.

2010 CONCRETE PROGRAM

į.

ţ

	Name	Address	How pleased are you with this program?	Was your overall experience satisfactory?	Did you receive courteous service?	Comments	Action Taken
	Katherine Bertini	7614 Nantucket	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes		
2	Herb Didio	7530 Nantucket	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes	Both the owner and foreman were very accomodating and helpful. They also replaced	
						my walkway and 1 am very pleased with their work.	
3	Marvin Johnson	7714 Nantucket	Satisfied	Yes	Yes		
4	Ron Persson	7829 Nantucket	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes	Homeowners need advanced notice to move cars out of driveway,	
5	Kravish	7725 Nantucket	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes		
6	Charles Kreps	2981 Beller	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes	This is a wonderful program and a smart way of replacing concrete. The staff was	
						very helpful and accomodating. The subcontractors crews were very professional and	
						efficient. A very good experience.	
	J. Rekar	1317 Iroquais	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes		
	Kathy Hiatt	1632 Lakeview	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes		
9	Manny Techico	3090 Beller	Very Pleased	No	Yes	Sealer applied to apron \$92.23 is over charged. Ace Hardware recommended using	
						Thompson Concrete Sealer on sale at \$7.99 plus tax (gallon) Use a pump sprayer	
						and takes 5 minutes to spray on apron.	
	Matt Guritz	7925 Adams	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes		
	Ray Otocki	3361 Brewer	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes	Quick service-apron was finished in 2 days. Beautiful job-very satisfied.	
	Ken Abraham	1717 Lakeview	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes		
	Hazellon	7929 Adams	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes	I still do not know if sealer was applied,	Sent Jim H to confirm w/water
	Ed Buric	829 Tamarack	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes		
15	Sophy Chan	7705 Stratford	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes	The grass edge of the apron needs dirt & grass to amend.	Res. had slab of apron replaced. Jim
							explained it would be her responsibility
							to put dirt/seed.
16	Kevin Licko	1313 Iroquois	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes	Better communication when they were coming back to put sealer on would have	
						been nice. RESIDENT CALLED ON 8/30 TO REPORT CRACK ON PRIVATE DRIVE	Kris explained to resident that we would
							keep an eye on it and If it worsened we
							would possibly replace next year.
	Lorraine Hinton	8581 Sandalwood	Satisfied	Yes	Yes		
18	Joe Chiko	6914 Bentley	Satisfied	No	Yes	Required supervision on my part. If I were not present the drive would have been 16'	Resident never called with concern. I am
<u> </u>						wide @ the sidewalk and 22 at the curb even though 1 had drawings available. The	not sure who he talked to about concrete
		· · · · ·					cracking.
<u> </u>						able to get the connections made befoe concrete was poured,	
<u> </u>						I have heard that concrete mix has not been holding up well. The old driveway lasted	
-			·		en .	30 years. Assuming it was poured in 1968 that is 32 years. I have heard that the	
						concrete used is cracking & powdering in under 4 years I hope this year we got a	
	l	TOTA Distant D		Yes	Yes	better pour.	Course of the second seco
19	Joe Balsamo	7214 Richard Rd	Very Pleased	TES	185	Resident called on 8/20/10 saying his sealer had not been applied.	Gave to Dan S. as Jim was out sick. Dan
	· · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			went over and determined that sealer was
20	Bill McGlynn	Bailey Park HOA	Very Pleased	Yes	Yes		not applied.
120		Dalley Park HOA	very meased	165	125		
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
H				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
L	1		L	l			
			1				