

POLICE COMMITTEE
July 18, 2016
6:00 P.M.
Police Department Training Room
1710 Plainfield Road

1. Call to Order
2. Public Comment and Communications
3. Approval of Meeting Minutes – June 20, 2016
4. Motion to Approve an Ordinance Authorizing the Disposal of Surplus Property.
5. Motion to Approve the Expenditure of Budgeted Funds for a One Year Subscription from Lexipol, LLC in the amount of \$7,215.00.
6. Motion to approve a Resolution Authorizing the Execution of a Letter of Intent to Participate in the DuPage Judicial Information System (DUJIS).
7. Chief's Monthly Report
8. Next Meeting Date – August 15, 2016
9. Adjournment

**POLICE COMMITTEE
MEETING MINUTES
June 20, 2016**

Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 6:00 p.m. in the police department training room. In attendance: Alderman Beilke, Alderman McIvor, City Administrator Vana, Chief Thomas, and Deputy Chief Cooper.

Public Comment and Communications

No public Comment.

Minutes

The minutes of the May 16, 2016, Police Committee meeting were unanimously approved.

Motion to Approve Purchase of Evidence Room Drying Cabinets and Fuming Tank

Staff recommends approval a motion authorizing the purchase of an evidence room drying cabinet and fuming tank from VWR Scientific in the amount of \$19,573.15 using DOJ award money. Chief Thomas highlighted the needs for the purchase of these items as well as the recommended design layout. The Committee unanimously approved this motion.

Motion to Approve Purchase of Laserfiche Avante Electronic Document Management System

Staff recommends approval a motion authorizing the purchase of a Laserfiche Avante Electronic Document Management System from TKB Associates in the amount of \$8,094.00 using DOJ award money. Deputy Chief Cooper emphasized the Department's need for improved records management due to the requirements to maintain records for several years. This system will allow paper documents to be stored easily in electronic format. The Committee unanimously approved this purchase.

Motion to Approve an Ordinance for Two (2) New Commander Positions and the Elimination of the Deputy Chief and Operation Lieutenant positions

Staff recommends approval of an ordinance eliminating the Deputy Chief and Lieutenant positions and creating two (2) new positions of Commander-Administrative Services and Commander-Operations. With the retirement of Deputy Chief John Cooper Chief Thomas felt it was a good opportunity to reorganize the Department. Chief feels that changing to two commanders allows for better succession planning. The committee unanimously approved this ordinance.

Motion to Approve the Payment of Annual Dues for Participation with the DuPage Metropolitan Enforcement Group (DUMEG)

Staff recommends that the City approve the annual dues payment for DUMEG in the amount of \$17,680. The committee unanimously approved this expenditure.

Chief's Monthly Report

Chief Thomas reviewed the highlights of his monthly report.

Adjournment

The Committee Meeting was adjourned at 6:38 p.m.

Approved: _____
Date

Alderman: _____
Joseph Marchese

Chairman: _____
Sylvia McIvor

Alderman: _____
Tina Beilke

AGENDA MEMO
Police Committee
July 18, 2016

ISSUE STATEMENT

Approval of an ordinance authorizing the disposal of surplus property.

BACKGROUND/HISTORY

Staff is requesting that the following property be declared as surplus property and auctioned using an on-line auction service, Public Surplus, or disposed of:

ITEM	EXPLANATION	
1	Box of Miscellaneous Lights/Sirens	Obsolete Equipment
2	Bicycle – Boys Hyper Black	No Longer Useful to City
3	Bicycle – Girls Next Purple/Silver	No Longer Useful to City
4	Bicycle – Boys 20” Schwinn Red	No Longer Useful to City
5	Bicycle – Boys Silver/Red Mongoose	No Longer Useful to City
6	Bicycle – Girls Purple Roadmaster	No Longer Useful to City
7	Bicycle- Kent 20” Troublemaker Pink/Neon Green	No Longer Useful to City
8	(2) Used Whelen Siren/Lights Controls – Sold As Is -M41	Obsolete Equipment
9	Box of Wires from Squad Cars-Fuses Timers-M30	No Longer Useful to City
10	Whelen Crown Vic Red/Blue Brake Light LED	No Longer Useful to City
11	Miscellaneous Electric Cords/Parts	Obsolete Equipment
12	Code 3 Under Cover Siren Speaker Mod 3000-MS1000 (M9)	No Longer Useful to City
13	Pelouze R-47 metric Scale – 20	No Longer useful to City
14	Vertical Steel Desktop Organizer (30)	No Longer Useful to City
15	Hanson Scale with Case (52)	No Longer Useful to City
16	Used Metal Parts for Squad Car Computer Mounts Consoles & Hardware, Lund Parts (M45)	Obsolete Equipment
17	Whelen Duplex Red/Blue LED Lights (M35)	No Longer Useful to City
18	2006-Mirror beam Housing Tahoe-No LTS (M1)	No Longer Useful to City
19	USI Laminator Model USI1200 – Plastic Housing is Cracked -Works	No Longer Useful to City
20	NEC Computer Monitor LCD 1970VX	No Longer Useful to City
21	Sony Color computer Video Camera CCD-PC1	Obsolete Equipment
22	eMachines Computer Tower Model T2824	Obsolete Equipment
23	Power Mac G4 Computer Tower	Obsolete Equipment
24	Mac Laptop	No Longer Useful to City
25	Whelen LED Lights Red/Blue (M36)	No Longer Useful to City
26	Whelen LED Lights Red/Blue (M37)	No Longer Useful to City

27	(4) Office Chair Protectors for Rolling Office Chairs 4' x 3'	No Longer Useful to City
28	Basyx Black Rolling Office Chair	No Longer Useful to City
29	(3) Office Chairs	No Longer Useful to City
30	Box of Miscellaneous Antennas and Wires	No Longer Useful to City
31	Hand Held Lighted Stop Sign with Charger	No Longer Useful to City
32	(3) Flare Canisters	No Longer Useful to City

Staff recommends the above be declared surplus property and auctioned using Public Surplus or disposed of.

ALTERNATE CONSIDERATION

As recommended by the Committee.

DECISION MODE

If approved by the Committee, this item will be placed on the August 1, 2016 City Council Agenda for formal approval.

AGENDA MEMO
Police Committee
July 18, 2016

ISSUE STATEMENT

Staff requests approval for the expenditure of budgeted funds line item 01-40-4325 Consulting/Professional Services for the one year law enforcement policy manual update subscription from Lexipol, LLC in the amount of \$7,215.00.

BACKGROUND/HISTORY

This is the 7th year the Darien Police Department has subscribed with Lexipol to create a web based comprehensive police department policy manual. Lexipol is highly recommended by our insurance carrier IRMA for their training and risk management policies.

The one year law enforcement policy manual update subscription includes 24/7 access to Knowledge Management System for updates and editing. The DTB subscription service includes 365 Unique Scenario Daily Training Bulletins and Testing Data Base.

STAFF/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Based upon the above information, staff recommends that the City approve the annual payment to Lexipol in the amount of \$7,215.00.

ALTERNATE CONSIDERATION

As recommended by the Committee.

DECISION MODE

This item will be placed on the August 1, 2016, City Council Agenda for formal Council approval.

03-10-1700
17132



PREDICTABLE IS PREVENTABLE

Lexipol, LLC
6 B Liberty, Suite 200
Aliso Viejo, CA 92656

Invoice

Date	Invoice #
6/1/16	17132

Bill To
Darien Police Department Attn: Accounts Payable 1710 Plainfield Road Darien, IL 60561

Due Date
7/1/16

Description
The one year law enforcement policy manual update subscription includes 24/7 access to Knowledge Management System for updates and editing. The DTB subscription service includes 365 Unique Scenario Daily Training Bulletins and Testing Data Base. 07/01/2016 - 06/30/2017

Thank you!	Total	\$7,215.00
------------	--------------	------------

Phone #	949-484-4444	Terms and Conditions: All services are payable in full within thirty (30) days from the invoice date.
Fax #	949-484-4443	

AGENDA MEMO

Police Committee

July 18, 2016

ISSUE STATEMENT

A resolution authorizing approval of a Letter of Intent for participation in the DuPage Judicial Information System (DUJIS) being established by the DuPage Emergency Telephone System Board.

BACKGROUND/HISTORY

We currently work with DUCOMM for dispatching of emergency and non-emergency calls for service whether initiated by an officer or by the public. DUCOMM uses an old Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) system that does not provide for adequate information. We currently are in a partnership as well for our Records Management System (RMS). This system is tied to the CAD system and is too old and the information nearly impossible to retrieve. The inability to retrieve information creates a number of problems. It takes way too long to create the monthly report. We have no real means to analyze crime, calls for service, accidents, officer performance, etc. due to the poor systems. In order to retrieve this information, we receive a complete data dump then pour through a spreadsheet eliminating unnecessary data elements, adding needed data elements, etc. to run analysis. This system allows us to share information between DuPage County jurisdictions.

This agreement will fund our share of DUJIS in the amount of \$273,343 to be paid in various increments over a period of five years (see table below). These costs are estimates as not all agreements are in place. The estimate cost for the system is as follows:

Darien		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Current NetRMS est		\$2,220	\$2,654					
New RMS Capital		\$24,605	\$24,605					
New RMS Annual				\$31,047	\$31,602	\$32,257	\$32,938	\$33,648
Agency Specific Police								\$19,643
Network option est.				\$9,516	\$9,516	\$9,516	\$9,576	renew?
TOTAL	\$273,343	\$26,825	\$27,259	\$40,563	\$41,118	\$41,773	\$42,514	\$53,291

This agreement if approved will fund our share of DUJIS in the amount of \$273,343 to be paid in various increments over a period of five years. Staff has anticipated this change over and has included the expense in our 3 year budget forecast. This item will be placed for Council approval the same evening as the Committee meeting since the ETSB is asking for a formal commitment as soon as possible.

STAFF/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Police Committee approve the Letter of Intent supporting the participation in the DuPage Judicial Information System (DUJIS) being established by the DuPage ETSB.

ALTERNATE CONSIDERATION

As recommended by Committee.

DECISION MODE

This item will be placed on the July 18, 2016 City Council Agenda for formal Council approval.

[Date]

Hon. Robert B. Berlin
DuPage County State's Attorney
503 N County Farm Rd.
Wheaton, Illinois 60187

Hon. Gary Grasso, Chairman
Emergency Telephone System Board (ETSB)
421 N County Farm Rd.
Wheaton, Illinois 60187

Dear State's Attorney Berlin and Chairman Grasso:

This letter is to confirm the intention of the [City Council/Board of Trustees] of the [Municipality or Fire Protection District] to participate in the DuPage County Judicial Information System (DuJIS). I am advising you that the [City Council/Board of Trustees] authorized me to execute letter on its behalf in accordance with the resolution which I have attached. The [City Council/Board of Trustees] makes this representation after its review of the following documents provided by the ETSB on May x, 2016 and which were incorporated in the resolution:

- Letter of Intent
- Organizational Structure
- Financial Overview (to date)
- Agency Estimated Costs (to date)
- Report Management System (RMS) Staffing Overview
- Additional Costs Summary
- GIS Work Flow and Addressing Ordinance

[The City Council/Board of Trustees] understands and acknowledges that the ETSB will rely on this commitment in determining the final cost for the projections of the DuJIS Project and in determining whether to proceed with contract award. The [City Council/Board of Trustees] understands and expects that the ETSB will notify it prior to contract award if the estimated cost to the [Municipality or Fire Protection District] increases by more than ten (10) percent.

Sincerely,

[Mayor/President]
[Municipality or Fire Protection District]

Enclosure

RESOLUTION

AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A LETTER OF INTENT
TO PARTICIPATE IN THE DUPAGE JUDICIAL INFORMATION SYSTEM (DUJIS)

WHEREAS, the County of DuPage, Illinois in collaboration with its Emergency Telephone System Board (ETSB), is prepared to implement an integrated justice system known as DuJIS which will allow participating police and fire departments to exchange information with and between the County's court and correctional entities; and

WHEREAS, the County intends DuJIS will replace the ETSB's existing Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) system; and

WHEREAS, County intends DuJIS will replace the existing incident Report Management System (RMS) used throughout the County; and

WHEREAS, [this unit of government] as reviewed materials prepared by the ETSB which detail DuJIS's estimated costs, organization, and functionality, and such documents are incorporated in this resolution as if fully set forth herein; and

WHEREAS, [this unit of government] desires to participate in the DuJIS System;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the [President/Mayor] shall be and hereby is directed to execute the attached Letter of Intent directed to the State's Attorney and the Chairman of the ETSB; and further

BE IT RESOLVED, that the [Clerk/Secretary] shall transmit copies of this Resolution to the State's Attorney and the Chairman of the Emergency Telephone System Board forthwith; and further

BE IT RESOLVED, that the [President/Mayor] is authorized to withdraw the Letter of Intent if the ETSB determines that the estimated cost to the [Municipality or Fire Protection District] will increase by more than ten (10) percent beyond the projection supplied by the ETSB;



DUPAGE COUNTY

GOVERNMENT



TO: DuPage Justice Information System Participants (DuJIS)

FROM: States Attorney Robert Berlin and Gary Grasso
DuJIS Chairman DuPage ETSB Chairman

DATE: May 27, 2016

SUBJECT: DuPage Justice Information System Letter of Intent and Next Steps

Thank you for your interest in participating in the DuPage Integrated Justice Information System (DuJIS) project. The purpose of this memorandum is to provide an overview of the next steps and to seek participation from DuPage municipal and fire protection district agencies, in the form of a Letter of Intent, in order to finalize the contract with Intergraph, the vendor to which the Emergency Telephone System Board (ETSB) is preparing to award the contract for this project.

In order for the ETSB to properly price the contract, it requests that you present the enclosed "Letter of Intent" to your governing board for its approval. Please include the actual handouts as shown in the sample as part of your resolution. We have enclosed included a five-year cost projection to assist you in your decision.

The Law Enforcement Report Management System (LE RMS) cost projection includes the portion of the capital investment your agency will be responsible for as well as for its share of the ongoing maintenance, staffing (four IT professionals for LE RMS), and equipment replacement costs based on the number of users your agency would have in the system today. We have calculated this based on a cost-per-user basis. We have included an equipment replacement contribution so that the LE RMS system can be self-sustaining and allow for available funding for the upgrade or replacement of law enforcement report writing software in the future. DuPage ETSB NetRMS participants may already be familiar with equipment replacement cost preparation from your cost sharing experiences with that system. While this is not a new approach for the ETSB, it was not part of the cost projections that the manager for the initial stages of the project, Mr. David Usery, shared with you last Fall. Because we believe it is important to set aside funds for the eventual upgrade or replacement of the system in the years ahead, we have revised those projections to account for equipment replacement.

The DuJIS project is a very complex project with many interfaces to various technologies. To that end, the ETSB will only permit cost-sharing for common expenses. If an agency requires additional interfaces with the RMS or CAD to their unique software applications, it will need to obtain them through Intergraph the cost of which will be the responsibility of the impacted agency as included in the contract. Neither the ETSB nor the County will be responsible for the costs of interfaces to agency-specific software. Agency specific interfaces will be configured after the core systems are deployed, approximately 24 months from date of contract. Itemized cost projections per agency are included with this document. This information will allow participants with agency specific interfaces the opportunity to decide whether or not to move to one of the core shared applications versus paying for a specific interface.

We have made every effort to determine the five-year cost for this system including an equipment replacement contribution.

We ask that you present the Letter of Intent as is to your agency's corporate authorities, and upon its approval, execute the letter on your letterhead and return it to DuPage ETSB at 421 County Farm Road, Wheaton, IL 60187 by June 25, 2016. If some agencies elect not to participate and that reduction changes the costs to any agency by more than ten percent (10%), we will notify each agency that has executed the letter of intent of this change in costs and to allow it the opportunity to reassess its intention to participate.

The ETSB presently anticipates letting the contract before the end of the second quarter, 2016. The next ETSB Committee of the Whole Meeting is Wednesday, June 1 at 8:30am in the County Board Room at 421 County Farm Road, Wheaton. This is a two hour time block the ETSB intends to convene this additional Committee of the Whole for the exclusive purpose of reviewing the details of the contract before its final approval before the end of June. The ETSB will provide notice for those interested in attending.

Following the approval of the contract, the ETSB will request the County Board enter into intergovernmental agreements (IGAs) on the ETSB's behalf with each of the various Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs) whose members will participate in DuJIS. The IGAs will outline the organization of the system and the respective duties of the ETSB and each PSAP. The IGAs will require each PSAP pass its obligations through to its participating member agencies. Each PSAP will be responsible for collecting an annual per capita assessment for DuJIS from its member agencies and for paying those assessments to the County and to the ETSB.

We are providing the following attachments to assist Agencies in making their decision regarding participation in the DuJIS Project:

- Letter of Intent and Resolution language with requested return attachments
- Steering Committee Structure
- DuPage Customer Pricing Overview (to date)
- Agency Cost Projections Summary (to date)
- Law Enforcement Report Management System (LE RMS) Staffing Overview
- Additional Costs Summary
- GIS Work Flow

Letter of Intent:

As we explained previously, the ETSB has requested that each prospective DuJIS participant present a letter of intent to its corporate authorities. The ETSB will use each agency's commitment to determine the total number of participants in the system as well as final pricing. After your agency has completed its due diligence and approved the letter of intent's execution, please return it to DuJIS Project, c/o DuPage ETSB 421 County Farm Road, Wheaton, IL 60187. If the number of participants changes the cost per agency by an increase of more than ten percent (10%), agencies that have indicated participation will be notified. Once a sufficient number of agencies approve the Letter of Intent, the State's Attorney, in collaboration with the attorneys for each of the participating PSAPs, will develop a standardized IGA to implement the system. As noted previously, we anticipate the PSAPs will serve as "contractual conduit" to help facilitate project implementation and to help manage the flow of responsibilities between the agencies, the ETSB, and the County in much the same way they did during the implementation and eventual operation of the radio project.

We ask that you present the resolution authorizing the execution of the letter of Intent to your board or council at your earliest opportunity and include the backup materials we are providing as attachments to the resolution.

Organizational Structure:

Upon project commencement, the County will retain a Report Management System (RMS) Manager through its Human Resources Department for LE RMS. While the County's Director of Information Technology and the ETSB's Executive Director will jointly make the final selection, a small panel representing various LE RMS user groups will participate in the selection process and screen the applicants.

Though initially an ETSB position, the RMS Manager will transition to the County's IT Department beginning with FY2017 when the project is under contract and intergovernmental agreements have been executed with participants. These instruments will obligate the funds necessary for the County to proceed with increasing its headcount for this project and completing the staffing transfer.

The ETSB will formalize the existing staff work groups which have provided valuable guidance in the vendor selection process into standing ETSB committees and subcommittees. Once established, these bodies will proceed through the existing Policy Advisory Committee (PAC), which the ETSB will restructure to allow for this expanded role. These work groups will also identify, draft and recommend policy to the ETS Board through the PAC for DuJIS for, but not limited to, standardization of data bases and mapping/addressing.

Representatives of the County of DuPage, the State's Attorney, the Sheriff, the Clerk of the Circuit Court, the DuPage Mayors and Managers Conference, the DuPage County Chiefs of Police, the DuPage County Fire Chiefs Association, the ETSB, and the City of Naperville have and will continue to collaborate on a consensus-based model to facilitate project implementation. While the ultimate authority for project management will be coordinated by the County's IT Department (LE RMS) and the ETSB (CAD), the members of this collaborative will ensure that the entities or elected officials they represent are fully informed about project goals, development, and the implementation timeline. In this way, as opposed to the creation of a formalized and rigid governance structure, each entity or elected official retains their autonomy and must independently exercise their respective functions related to project implementation. As of the Spring of 2016, State's Attorney Berlin serves as the coordinator of this loose collaborative, sometimes referred to as "governance" or a "steering committee."

Financial Overview:

We have provided the Intergraph financial cost sheet for your review. This document contains the costs to date for the system and four years of maintenance. The estimated total cost for the Intergraph contract is approximately \$12M. The coding on this sheet represents CAD (ETSB cost), RMS (Agency cost) and COM (cost attributed to both CAD and RMS which are split between these two systems). These codes were utilized to determine the agency share for reimbursement.

The ETSB will advance the initial financing for the entire project. The Agency Estimated Costs which are shown to the right of the pricing information on the spreadsheet, show the reimbursement required by Agencies to ETSB. When you met with Mr. David Usery, he provided you with cost estimates for LE RMS based upon common interfaces. These projections did not include costs for interfaces necessary to allow RMS to communicate with any additional systems your agency may have elected to utilize (such as Lexis/Nexis, Livescan, Beast, etc.), maintenance over the life of the contract, personnel or equipment replacement. For this reason, the numbers you are seeing now are somewhat larger than those you reviewed in the Fall.

Agency Estimated Costs:

This attachment details the estimated costs each agency can expect to pay for system access. It is similar in format to the current NetRMS annual billing where the RMS costs are determined per user. Please be aware that the new system will assign each user a *unique* sign on and will not support collective access to the system for a records or investigations department. For this reason, it is critical that you verify the number of users your agency will have on the system.

When you met with Mr. Usery in the Fall, he provided you with cost estimates for LE RMS acquisition based entirely on the costs of project acquisition. As was the case with hardware replacement, subsequent discussions led to the recognition that existing County and ETSB staff could not satisfy the staffing requirements Intergraph proposed were necessary to properly and effectively maintain a modern LE RMS. After a thorough review of the proposed requirements, technical experts from the County's IT Department, the ETSB, user groups, and Intergraph reached a consensus recommendation that requires the County to increase its existing staff by four (4) full time equivalent positions ("FTEs"). Based on the County's Human Resources' current salary matrix for the relevant job descriptions, the staff increase will represent an annual estimated cost of \$425,000, including employee benefits.

As is the case with the RMS Manager, all initial staff will be hired through the ETSB's existing budget and under its headcount allocation. At the start of FY18 (December 1, 2018 for DuPage County), staff positions dedicated to RMS administration will transition from the ETSB to County IT.

This form also includes costs for participants that have agency specific interfaces. There is an overall cost sheet and subsequent worksheets which provide greater detail as to how these costs were calculated. It should be noted that the results are based on information provided by participants. You should check your agency specific categories with internal staff to ensure it is correct.

RMS Staffing Overview:

This worksheet will provide additional detail for the staffing positions based on Intergraph recommendations and DuPage County Human Resources' job descriptions and salary matrix.

Additional Costs Summary:

We have attempted to account for any additional costs that may be incurred by participants. To date, these include CPU/Mobile hardware that does not meet the specifications required for this system, replacement of the SONET network to a new network to support 911 systems, wireless technology for mobile terminals and fire station alerting. The attachment provided will contain more detailed information.

GIS Work Flow and Addressing Ordinance:

Mapping is a critical function of the new CAD system which will require standardization. Participants are encouraged to have an addressing ordinance to facilitate standardization. There is also a flow chart to outline the process for address changes.



**DuPage Digital Justice Information System
Project Work Team**

Legal Counsel: Rick Veenstra

Governance Advisory Committee:

Bob Berlin, Chairman	DuPage States Attorney
Mark Baloga	DMMC
Robert Marshall	Naperville Police
Andy Bonomo	DuPage Fire Chiefs
Tom Cuculich	DuPage County
Don Carlsen	County Information Officer
Dewey Hartman	DuPage Circuit Clerk
Bill Hayden	DuPage Chiefs of Police
Jim Kruse	DuPage Sheriff's Office
Paul Rafac	County Finance Officer
Linda Zerwin	DuPage ETSB
TBD	Probation

Project Manager: Deltawrx, LLC – Report to ETSB/Linda Zerwin

Finance/Legal Team:

Paul Rafac, Lead	County Finance
Don Carlsen	County IT
Bill Hayden	DuPage Police Chiefs / Village of Addison grant
Jim Kruse	DPSO
Jim Jackson	DuPage Fire Chief Association
Rick Veenstra	SAO
Linda Zerwin	ETSB
Rebecca Cussans	County Procurement

Tech Team:

Matt Baarman, Lead	DU-COMM
Scott Klein	DU-COMM
David Jordan	DPSO
Wendy Wagner	County IT
Eric Sherpan	County IT
Jerry Furmanski	ETSB
Jason Arres	Naperville
Jason Snow	Naperville
Mike Sampey	ACDC

GIS Team

Tom Ricker, Lead	County GIS
Mike DiGiannantonio	ETSB
Mike Chastain	DU-COMM
Jason Snow	Naperville

Law Enforcement RMS Team:

Law Enforcement Executive Team

Dave Anderson	Lisle PD, LE
Tom Kammerer	Naperville PD, LE
Greg Vesta	Wood Dale, LE
Sworn LE Executive	DuPage Sheriff's Office, LE

User Group Team

Patti Taves, Lead	Glen Ellyn PD
Mike Tierney	Addison PD
Tracy Adams	Downers Grove PD
Mike Novak	Hanover Park PD
Jan Barbeau	Wheaton PD
Diane Schlake	Naperville PD
Mike DiGiannantonio	ETSB
Tom Brown	DPSO

CAD Team:

Jennifer Rizzo, Lead	Downers Grove PD
Heather Lippe	Downers Grove PD
Delores Temes	ACDC
Brandon Hurd	ACDC
Ron Gross	DU-COMM
Jenny Bostick	DPSO
David Jordan	DPSO
Kalah Considine	Naperville
Jerry Furmanski	ETSB
Mike DiGiannantonio	ETSB
ETSB Deputy Director	ETSB

Fire Team:

Dan Anderson	Roselle FD
John Sullivan	Addison FPD
Andy Bonomo	York Center FPD
Steve Riley	Westmont FD
Eric Kramer	Addison FPD
Amy Scheller	Naperville FD
Jim Halik	Westmont FD

EdgeFrontier Runtime Engine - Training License (IPS3042-TRN)		CAD	1	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 4,800	
I/Informer - Training License (IPSCADCUST-IPS0004TRN)		CAD	1	\$ 12,243	\$ 12,243	\$ 5,064	
I/Mobile Data Terminal - Training License (IPS0009TRN)		CAD	1	\$ 24,486	\$ 24,486	\$ 10,128	
I/Tracker - Training License (IPS0015TRN)		CAD	1	\$ 13,913	\$ 13,913	\$ 5,724	
WebRMS Server License - Training License		PRMS	1	\$ 43,500	\$ 43,500	\$ 20,820	
WebRMS FBR Server - Training License		PRMS	1	\$ 14,500	\$ 14,500	\$ 6,972	
Apache Tomcat 6 (Free Download)		COM	1		Included at no additional cost		
Crystal Reports for Eclipse		COM	1		Included at no additional cost		
EdgeFrontier Runtime Engine - Training License (IPS3042-TRN)		PRMS	1	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 4,800	
Intergraph WebRMS Connect for EdgeFrontier - TRN (IPS2043TRN)		PRMS	1		Included at no additional cost		
Standard and Custom Interfaces – Test, Training, Redundant and Backup Copies of proposed interfaces are available for all Interface Server, however, implementation is subject to the customer's ability to provide connection to the applicable system.			1				
Microsoft SQL Server 2012 ENT Edition RUNTIME - 2 core pack (7LQ-00001)	WebRMS Database / Application / Interface / FBR Training Server	COM	4	\$ 7,900	\$ 31,600	\$ 5,376	
Microsoft SQL Server 2012 ENT Edition RUNTIME - 2 core pack	CAD Database / Interface / Communications Training Server	COM	4	\$ 7,900	\$ 31,600	\$ 5,376	
Optional Intergraph Mobile Solutions:							
Intergraph Mobile Responder Client - 100 Tablet CALs (IPS3204B)		CAD	1	\$ 22,500	\$ 22,500	\$ 5,160	
Intergraph Mobile Responder Client - 500 Tablet CALs (IPS3204D)		CAD	1	\$ 101,250	\$ 101,250	\$ 23,160	
Intergraph Mobile Responder Client - 100 Smartphone CALs (IPS3205B)		CAD	1	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 3,432	
Intergraph Mobile Responder Client - 500 Smartphone CALs (IPS3205D)		CAD	1	\$ 67,500	\$ 67,500	\$ 15,444	
Optional Training:							
ICAD Essentials for Users (TTU) (IPST2004)		CAD	1	\$ 11,514	\$ 11,514		
ICAD Trainer Consulting (TTT) (IPST2010)	Custom Training Documents	CAD	1	\$ 19,089	\$ 19,089		
ICAD Trainer Consulting (TTT) (IPST2010)	Refresher Training Prep	CAD	1	\$ 11,514	\$ 11,514		
WebRMS Customized Training (IPST4000) - Six Mnth Refresher		PRMS	1	\$ 9,090	\$ 9,090		
Total Price for All Options					\$ 1,858,585	\$ 244,856	

Notes:

1. An overall system discount has been provided for this opportunity. This discount is applicable only to Intergraph Products and Services, Third-Party content is not discounted. Changes to scope of the final contract may change the discount amount. Also, any credits given for line items as part of a Change Order will include a reduction for the line prorated amount of the one-time system discount. Items removed after contract signing will result in a contract credit for future Intergraph software and services being established, not a contract reduction. This discount is based on the acceptance of Intergraph's standard Terms and Conditions.
2. Unless otherwise noted, project management services, implementation services and software maintenance ARE NOT included in option pricing. Intergraph services are valid for 6 months and Intergraph product pricing is valid for one year after contract signing. Pricing for optional third party products and services are valid for 90 days from the date on this pricing proposal. Intergraph can provide a fixed quote when optional items are selected.
3. Standard and Custom Interfaces – Test, Training, Redundant and Backup Copies of proposed interfaces are available for all Interface Server, however, implementation is subject to the customer's ability to provide connection to the applicable system.
4. Intergraph has based its WebRMS license offering upon the following assumptions: The number of users indicated as requiring RMS access (2,000) is the total number of users. The County would have no more than 1/3 of its total number of users logged on to RMS workstations at any one time for purposes including, but not limited to records management, reporting, and crime analysis. The quantity and price of client user licenses will be adjusted to reflect actual usage at time of contract negotiation.
5. Sales tax is not included in this quote. Final sales tax billed will reflect the applicable tax rates at time of sale as required by law.
6. EdgeFrontier Developer License can be installed on up to two separate servers for use in the development of CAD and RMS Interfaces. The Developer instance of the license is usually installed on the Test Interface Server.

FIRE AGENCY	Total											Agency Specific Interfaces	Fiber Network Cost	# Users	% Total	Total Equipment Replacement Contribution
ADDISON FPD	\$ 117,080.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 114,192.00			
BARTLETT FPD	\$ 117,080.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 114,192.00			
BLOOMINGDALE FPD	\$ 117,080.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 114,192.00			
BENSENVILLE FPD	\$ 76,128.00											\$ -	\$ 76,128.00			
CAROLSTREAM FPD	\$ 117,080.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 114,192.00			
CLARENDON HILLS FPD	\$ 40,952.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 38,064.00			
DARIEN-WOODRIDGE FPD	\$ 40,952.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 38,064.00			
DOWNERS GROVE FD	\$ 155,144.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 152,256.00			
ELMHURST FD	\$ 79,016.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 76,128.00			
GLENN ELLYN VFC	\$ 79,016.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 76,128.00			
GLENSIDE FPD	\$ 40,952.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 38,064.00			
HANOVER PARK FD	\$ 79,016.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 76,128.00			
HINSDALE FD	\$ 40,952.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 38,064.00			
ITASCA FPD	\$ 40,952.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 38,064.00			
LISLE-WOODRIDGE FPD	\$ 193,208.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 190,320.00			
LOMBARD FD	\$ 79,016.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 76,128.00			
NAPERVILLE FD	\$ 2,888.00											\$ 2,888.00				
OAK BROOK FD	\$ 79,016.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 76,128.00			
OAKBROOK TERRACE FPD	\$ 38,064.00											\$ -	\$ 38,064.00			
PLEASANTVIEW FPD	\$ 79,016.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 76,128.00			
ROSELLE FD	\$ 40,952.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 38,064.00			
TRI-STATE FPD	\$ 79,016.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 76,128.00			
VILLA PARK FD												\$ 2,888.00	\$ 76,128.00			
WARRENVILLE FPD	\$ 79,016.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 76,128.00			
WEST CHICAGO FD	\$ 155,144.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 152,256.00			
WESTMONT FD	\$ 79,016.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 76,128.00			
WHEATON FD	\$ 117,080.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 114,192.00			
WINFIELD FPD	\$ 76,128.00											\$ -	\$ 76,128.00			
WOODDALE FPD	\$ 79,016.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 76,128.00			
YORK CENTER FPD	\$ 40,952.00											\$ 2,888.00	\$ 38,064.00			

FIRE AGENCY	Total	FY16 NetRMS	FY17 NetRMS	FY18 NetRMS	New RMS Capital	New RMS Yr1	Yr 2	Yr3	Yr4	Yr5	Agency Specific Interfaces	Fiber Network Cost	# Users	% Total	Total Equipment Replacement Contribution
ACDC	\$ -										\$ -				
DOWNERS GROVE PSAP	\$ 37,819.00										\$ 37,819				
DUCOMM	\$ 37,819.00										\$ 37,819				
DSO PSAP	\$ -														
NAPERVILLE PSAP	\$ 362,760.00											\$ 362,760			
	\$ -														
ETSB	\$ -														



DuPage Digital Justice Information System RMS Staffing Overview

This document describes the recommended staffing for RMS based on Intergraph recommendations and DuPage County Human Resource job descriptions and salary matrix.

It is anticipated that these positions will be hired near the mid-range of the matrix. This could be adjusted up if the candidate has substantial experience in the Intergraph product.

Position	Salary Grade	Salary Range		
		Low	Mid	High
RMS Manager	315	\$71,821	\$95,760	\$119,700
Data Base Administrator	314	\$62,305	\$83,075	\$103,843
Report Writing Specialists (2)	312	\$48,137	\$64,184	\$80,228

The dollars included in the Agency Estimated Costs is:

Position	Salary Grade	Salary Range		
		Salary	Benefit (40%)	Total
RMS Manager	315	\$100,000	\$40,000	\$140,000
Data Base Administrator	314	\$80,000	\$32,000	\$112,000
Report Writing Specialists (2)	312	\$60,000	\$24,000	\$84,000

The salary calculations included in the Agency Cost Estimate include forty percent (40%) for benefits. In addition a two percent (2%) COLA has been added to each year of the program. Although not guaranteed, this COLA is consistent with DuPage County past practice and is only included to allow you budget appropriately.

It is important to remember that these are estimates based on known practices and information. It is possible that these costs could be lower.

RMS Manager:

The employee in this class is responsible for implementing, planning, managing and directing the DuPage County Justice Information System Law Enforcement Records Management System (“Records Management System”) Employee manages the appropriate relationships, budget, projects and staffs within the department. Work requires the ability to exercise a high degree of technical expertise and competency in order to effectively research, recommends and implement a records management system that successfully meets the needs of all Elected Officials, Municipal Governments, County Departments, and Quasi-Governmental Organizations. Administrative direction is received from the Chief Information Officer. Management direction is provided to subordinate manager/supervisors.

As project manager for this system, this position will be responsible for overseeing all on-site project related activities and for assisting the Intergraph Project Manager in developing and managing implementation schedules and coordinating activities and personnel.

Data Base Manager:

The use of the system administration tools within the applications is included in training. However, this position should be filled by resources fully trained in database management and system maintenance. This position reports to the RMS Manager.

Report Writing Specialists:

Ability and background to create custom reports based on defined content provided by administrative and supervisory personnel. Report Writing Specialists should be familiar with the database structure. Knowledge of SQL would be a definite advantage. This position reports to the RMS Manager.



DuPage Digital Justice Information System Additional Cost Worksheet

As stated in the cover letter, DuPage ETSB will be providing the CAD system including the mobile software/interface and the initial funding (with a reimbursement schedule) for RMS and interfaces ("Friends of CAD") not specific to the delivery of 911 services. The following additional costs have also been identified:

CPU/Mobile Hardware:

In order to operate properly with the new CAD system. Hardware that does not meet the specifications below will not be allowed on the system. Hardware should have the following specifications:

Mobile Computers:

Windows 7 Pro, 64 bit I3 or greater processor
4GB RAM
80 GB HD
1,024x768 resolution
Centronics port for printing (if appropriate)
Network connection (if to be docked on the network)
Touch Screen Preferred.

WebRMS Workstations (desk tops) or CPUs:

Windows 7 Pro, 64 bit

DuPage ETSB will do an RFP for hardware to facilitate a purchasing contract for equipment. Each agency will be responsible to fund any replacement hardware for mobile or desk top computers. The goal would be to receive all of the equipment so that tech personnel can load and configure the hardware properly prior to deployment/implementation. The letter of intent will have a handout that asks for the number of devices you would be replacing in order to provide a range for the RFP. This RFP will be let as soon as data is collected.

Network:

The Technology Team for this project is working on a RFP to replace the SONET. CAD and Friends of CAD as well as Customer Premise Equipment (CPE-the 911 call handling devices) will run on this network. DuPage ETSB will pay for the connections between the PSAPs (with the exception of Naperville). Connectivity in the network for RMS and any other interfaced systems will be the responsibility of user agency. The RFP was let and returned May 31, 2016. The RFP will ask for several connectivity options. User agencies will have the opportunity to select their preferred network connection. This information is provided to user participants to assist with overall costs. It is an option and participant users may do their own connection but it will have to be approved by ETSB before it can connect into the system or a PSAP. This approval is only to ensure network system capacity and stability.

Wireless Network for Mobile Terminals:

DuPage ETSB will continue to fund the cost of the wireless interface. This RFP will be for air cards (or wireless activation assuming mobiles have internal wireless capability). While user agencies may currently have their own contracts for this service, the hope is that a county-wide contract may reduce the cost to the user agencies.

Fire Station Alerting:

DuPage ETSB is working with the Fire work group and will let an RFP to determine the feasibility of an updated, interoperable fire station alerting system.



DuPage Digital Justice Information System Address Point File Workflow Description

The new CAD system will be X/Y coordinate driven. For this reason the GIS map will be a critical piece of the core data base. This document will describe the workflow steps for updating the countywide address point file that will be used by E911 and other taxing organizations within DuPage County.

Municipal and County Input:

To create the new GIS map, agency participants that have address point data in either ESRI Geodatabase or ESRI shapefile should submit these files via etsb911@ducomm.org. This would also be the time to update any boundaries, police beats/zones, fire beats/zones. If you do not have this data, please submit a Trakit ticket, indicating this so that the GIS team can work with you to ensure your information is ready for the new system.

Once the system is implemented, each agency participant will be submitting their address point file updates through a web based GIS application. The users will be able to add their address updates through a map interface. Update and edit tools will be provided within the application. A workflow map is provided.

Address Update & Approval to E911:

Once the updated address points are complete an email and or other form of notification will be sent to ETSB GIS staff. At this step, the tentative point address will be reviewed and cross referenced by the MSAG data. If the address does not meet the standard needed for E911, the ETSB GIS staff will work with the respective municipality to correct and workout any issues that have arisen in the data review.

If the address does not meet the business rules established by the Tech Committee based on software capability, a respective municipal entity or the County may be asked to make a requested correction due to a discrepancy in the addressing. It is important that participants work with the GIS Team prior to annexations to avoid unnecessary delays in the annexation process or 911 mapping entry for an address within our service area.

When an address or corrected address is complete, another review will take place by ETSB GIS staff. Once the address is reviewed, approved and posted, this address point data will be uploaded to the Intergraph CAD system and also be available to others for their various address mapping needs.

Various Types of Data:

There is a county-wide address point file. We have completed all the unincorporated areas within DuPage County and we are currently obtaining address point data from all of the County's incorporated municipal governments. To date, 16 out of the 32 municipal entities address data here in DuPage County and are currently appending them to the county wide address point file. Once we have the county address point file appended and completed with all of the address data, we will be able to share this data back to those entities that did not have a GIS format.

Recommendation:

One of the greatest challenges to any GIS map and CAD software is the alpha numeric address (i.e. 21W241). Going forward we would recommend that participants adopt ordinances to eliminate this type of addressing.

Darien Police Department

Monthly Report

June 2016



Much has been said in the news regarding the tragedy in Dallas with twelve officers shot, five fatally and two civilians also struck by gun fire during a protest. It seems as though people like to take sides on the issue of interaction between two communities – members of the black community and the police community within the greater public community. There are people who make extreme statements possibly with intent to bring light to a subject that needs to be dealt with and not pushed aside, or maybe the speaker truly feels that way. Such comments as the police committing genocide on black male youth or now that 12 officers were shot police know what it feels like are examples.

There is substantial controversy and so many thoughts it is hard to keep them straight. A 13 second sound bite on television does nothing to help the situation. To move beyond where we currently are true communication is needed. Accurate understanding of the issue is essential. We need a better approach to correcting the situation. An accurate diagnosis of the issue is needed before we rush to prescribe a fix. We must know the difference between a feeling and a fact. I had a discussion with a friend and fellow officer in Aurora where he related a conversation he had with his wife. He said that his wife was talking one day about how the home they have doesn't "feel" like hers. He asked why and was told that she didn't decorate it. The husband talked about each room in the house and other than a bedroom that a child decorated and one room the husband decorated, the home was decorated by the wife. Who decorated the various rooms in the house is a "fact." Just because the friend was able to demonstrate factually the wife decorated the house, my friend missed the feeling his wife was trying to convey. There is an interesting video explaining this issue, if you care to see it google "it's not about the nail."

We can talk all about facts. Facts such as the 49,000, officers assaulted each year, with about 3/4th of police agencies reporting. Yet with that much violence perpetrated on our police community annually, police killed 444 people in 2015. That is less than one (.79%) percent of the time an officer is assaulted deadly force was used. We talk about the number of officers and use terms like "most police," "many police," etc. do a good job. In my prior position I was leading a discussion with a group of individuals from our faith-based community. During my talk I was explaining that of the individuals involved in gangs, 96% (Aurora) were minority. A very astute minister asked a question about this fact. I was very appreciative of the question as it allowed me an opportunity to talk about perceptions (feelings). Some people would use that high percentage to say "see, I told you so..." This would be a very wrong perception. There were approximately 350 individuals who were determined to be gang members. That would put the minority gang membership at 340. The city has a population of over 200,000 and about 60% of the city is minority. Using that premise would indicate that 120,000 minorities would be labeled because of the actions of 340. The reality is that less than one percent (.28%) of minorities was actually involved in gangs.

When we talk about communication, I like how Steven Covey describes communication. In Steven Covey's book, The Seven Habits of Highly Effective People, he talks about communication in Habit 5. Habit 5 is titled, "Seek First to Understand then to be Understood." Not to go into a full explanation of the habit but there are a couple points I would like to emphasize. One is the order of the habit – seek first to understand is to know the other persons' (community's) point of view fully before you provide your perspective. It doesn't mean you agree (at least not in the beginning) but that you truly comprehend the other person's (community's) point of view. The second point I would like to emphasize regarding Habit 5 is how Steven Covey describes when you arrived at understanding. You have understanding when you can state the other person's (community's) point of view better than they can do it themselves. The second part of the habit, then to be understood, is to have the courage to present your point of view.

In addition to communication, I realize how we approach situations and people are critical. We talk about respect and trust. I remember a conversation I had with a fellow officer who had an enlightened experience regarding respect. When he was a young officer he always approached a situation / person as in a respect way as long as the person he was dealing with was respectful. When the other person ceased being respectful then the officer would stop being respectful. The officer came to a realization that true respect is not based on the behavior of the other person and came to understand the concept of unconditional respect. The officer said once he came to that realization, his behavior changed. This officer was a patrol officer, a Special Response Team member, a detective with a very successful history and it was due in part, to his unconditional execution of respect.

I am frequently asked whether such incidents seen in the press regarding officer involved shootings could occur here. They can occur anywhere. We, as police, are human – as humans we are imperfect and cannot be expected to make a use of force decision which is usually less than ½ a second and never be wrong. A great man once said, with great power comes great responsibility. We get that here in Darien. Because we understand that the authority given to us through our oath to serve and protect, great power has been bestowed and we need to uphold our duty to the public without fail. We consistently train on use of force skills including the use of deadly force, less lethal force, handcuffing etc. We also consistently train and remind officers of the rules regarding use of force when each level of force is appropriate, understanding officer subject relationships, unusual circumstances, escalation and de-escalation of force, etc. Beyond the skill level training we have done and will continue to do training on cultural awareness with a program scheduled this fall. We understand issues that we face regarding the mentally ill and that training is also in place for this fall. Even though I cannot guarantee that a tragic situation will never occur here, I can say that we have put measures in place – training, supervision, rules, policies, etc. to do our best to live up to our motto of serve and protect, as well as our responsibility to do so in a constitutionally guaranteed manner.

Calls for Service Summary

June 2016

Citizen Generated Events

	<u>Jun</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>Jun</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>Percent</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>Percent</u> <u>Change</u>
Beat 1	214	214	0.00%	1188	1102	7.80%
Beat 2	213	194	9.79%	1023	967	5.79%
Beat 3	234	249	-6.02%	1412	1417	-0.35%
Total	661	657	0.61%	3623	3486	3.93%
Shift 1	255	278	-8.27%	1568	1536	2.08%
Shift 2	319	308	3.57%	1665	1621	2.71%
Shift 3	116	85	36.47%	480	405	18.52%
Total	690	671	2.83%	3713	3562	4.24%

Top Ten Incident Categories

Alarm	88	51	72.55%	395	330	19.70%
Citizen Assist	78	88	-11.36%	435	452	-3.76%
Suspicion	63	78	-19.23%	354	324	9.26%
Investigative	62	63	-1.59%	403	410	-1.71%
Accident	53	70	-24.29%	331	306	8.17%
Animal Issue	48	29	65.52%	174	143	21.68%
Public Order	41	68	-39.71%	170	244	-30.33%
Disorder	38	26	46.15%	199	214	-7.01%
Traffic	31	37	-16.22%	147	155	-5.16%
Domestic	29	40	-27.50%	162	100	62.00%

Officer Initiated Events

	<u>Jun</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>Jun</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>Percent</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>Percent</u> <u>Change</u>
Building Checks	10	7	42.86%	65	65	0.00%
Business Checks	461	169	172.78%	2007	1795	11.81%
Community Engagement	8	130	-93.85%	55	303	-81.85%
Directed Patrol	653	1645	-60.30%	5565	9567	-41.83%
House Checks	98	75	30.67%	1586	1533	3.46%
Park Duties	54	60	-10.00%	77	153	-49.67%
Parking Enforcement	25	12	108.33%	232	166	39.76%
School Presentations	0	0	#DIV/0!	7	11	-36.36%
School Visitations	0	6	-100.00%	134	190	-29.47%
Suspicious Persons	6	23	-73.91%	64	52	23.08%
Suspicious Vehicles	15	23	-34.78%	112	97	15.46%
Traffic Stops	299	117	155.56%	1558	1380	12.90%

Crime Summary

June 2016

Part 1 Offenses

	<u>Jun</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>Jun</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>Jun</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>1 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>5 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>1 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>5 Year</u> <u>Change</u>
Murder	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	1	0.0%	-100.0%
Sexual Assault	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	2	1	-100.0%	-100.0%
Robbery	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	1	3	-100.0%	-100.0%
Assault & Battery	0	0	1	0.0%	-100.0%	0	1	2	-100.0%	-100.0%
Violent Crime	0	0	1	0.0%	-100.0%	0	4	7	-100.0%	-100.0%
Burglary	3	4	2	-25.0%	50.0%	19	8	28	137.5%	-32.1%
Theft	16	28	30	-42.9%	-46.7%	99	163	163	-39.3%	-39.3%
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	1	2	-100.0%	-100.0%	4	7	6	-42.9%	-33.3%
Arson	0	4	6	-100.0%	-100.0%	0	15	14	-100.0%	-100.0%
Property Crime	19	37	40	-48.6%	-52.5%	122	193	211	-36.8%	-42.2%
Part One Crime	19	37	41	-48.6%	-53.7%	122	197	218	-38.1%	-44.0%

Part 2 Offenses

	<u>Jun</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>Jun</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>Jun</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>1 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>5 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>1 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>5 Year</u> <u>Change</u>
Assault	1	0	2	0.0%	-50.0%	3	4	5	-25.0%	-40.0%
Battery	7	2	6	250.0%	16.7%	17	15	24	13.3%	-29.2%
Domestic Battery	3	7	2	-57.1%	50.0%	32	48	27	-33.3%	18.5%
Criminal Damage	2	6	6	-66.7%	-66.7%	13	33	24	-60.6%	-45.8%
Criminal Trespass	0	3	0	-100.0%	0.0%	1	5	9	-80.0%	-88.9%
Disorderly Conduct	2	6	3	-66.7%	-33.3%	20	31	26	-35.5%	-23.1%

Arrest Summary

June 2016

Part One Offenses

	<u>Jun</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>Jun</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>Jun</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>1 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>5 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>1 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>5 Year</u> <u>Change</u>
Murder	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Sexual Assault	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	2	0.0%	-100.0%
Assault & Battery	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	2	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Violent Crime	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	2	0	2	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	7	0.0%	-100.0%
Theft	3	5	5	-40.0%	-40.0%	33	61	40	-45.9%	-17.5%
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	1	0	-100.0%	0.0%
Arson	0	0	1	0.0%	-100.0%	0	4	11	-100.0%	-100.0%
Property Crime	3	5	6	-40.0%	-50.0%	33	66	58	-50.0%	-43.1%
Part One Crime	3	5	6	-40.0%	-50.0%	35	66	60	-47.0%	-41.7%

Part Two Offenses

	<u>Jun</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>Jun</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>Jun</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>1 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>5 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>1 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>5 Year</u> <u>Change</u>
Assault	0	0	1	0.0%	-100.0%	0	1	1	-100.0%	-100.0%
Battery	3	0	2	0.0%	50.0%	3	2	6	50.0%	-50.0%
Domestic Battery	3	2	1	50.0%	200.0%	9	15	9	-40.0%	0.0%
Criminal Damage	1	0	2	0.0%	-50.0%	10	2	6	400.0%	66.7%
Criminal Trespass	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	5	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Disorderly Conduct	0	3	1	-100.0%	-100.0%	4	8	20	-50.0%	-80.0%
Alcohol Possession	1	0	3	0.0%	-66.7%	7	3	4	133.3%	75.0%
Alcohol Consumption	1	2	1	-50.0%	0.0%	3	6	9	-50.0%	-66.7%

Arrest Summary (continued)

June 2016

Drug Related Offenses

	<u>Jun</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>Jun</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>Jun</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>1 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>5 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>1 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>5 Year</u> <u>Change</u>
Cannabis	5	2	2	150.0%	150.0%	28	26	22	7.7%	27.3%
Controlled Substance	3	1	0	200.0%	0.0%	5	5	2	0.0%	150.0%
Hypodermic Syringes	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	2	0	-100.0%	0.0%
Drug Paraphernalia	5	1	2	400.0%	150.0%	13	12	11	8.3%	18.2%
Methamphetamine	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%

Adult / Juvenile

	<u>Jun</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>Jun</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>Jun</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>1 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>5 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>1 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>5 Year</u> <u>Change</u>
Adult	50	0	19	0.0%	163.2%	253	215	311	17.7%	-18.6%
Juvenile	8	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	43	37	79	16.2%	-45.6%

Warrants

	<u>Jun</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>Jun</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>Jun</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>1 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>5 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>1 Year</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>5 Year</u> <u>Change</u>
Served	0	0	8	0.0%	-100.0%	21	11	28	90.9%	-25.0%

Traffic Summary

June 2016

Accidents						
Type of Accident	<u>Jun</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>Jun</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>Percent</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2016</u>	<u>YTD</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>Percent</u> <u>Change</u>
Property Damage	39	44	-11.36%	250	264	-5.30%
Personal Injury	7	3	133.33%	38	30	26.67%
Fatal	0	1	-100.00%	1	1	0.00%
Total	46	48	-4.17%	289	295	-2.03%
Fatalities	0	1	-100.00%	1	1	0.00%
Hit & Run	10	7	42.86%	35	29	20.69%
Private Property	20	19	5.26%	105	111	-5.41%
DUI	0	1	-100.00%	1	1	0.00%